

Any existence, even error has reason for being, and disorder itself must find it's place among the elements of universal order. The characteristic tendency to reduce every thing down to an exclusively quantitative point of view: a cyclic phase that leads logically to the lowest point of descent which proceeds & with ever increasing speed from the beginning of a manvantara to the end. This tendency towards the limit of quantification can not of course be reached... since the lowest point is an obscure reflection or an inverted image of the highest point as it were and from this it follows that the most complete absence of all principle implies a sort of 'counterfeit' of the principle itself. The Pythagorean numbers, principle of things are by no means numbers as presently understood, as principle of immutability is by no means the immobility of a stone nor is true unity the uniformity of beings denuded of all their qualities! In the principial order all multiplicity is synthetically comprehended in unity itself.

The first off all cosmic dualities, situated at the very principle of universal manifestation, with which no existence is possible:

Purusha	Essence	Act	form
Prakriti	Substance	Potentiality	Matter

Universal Principles, the two poles of all manifestation
 The interaction exercised by the active principle
 Essence on the passive and feminine principle: Substance
 or again act is that in him by which he participates
 in essence and potency " " " substance.
 Pure act and pure potency could not exist anywhere in
 manifestation.

Essence the principle synthesis of all the attributes which belong to a being and makes the being what it is... quality considered as a content of essence is susceptible of a transposition which universalizes its significance and even brought into relation with God himself, that is saguna Brahma, but there can not be any quantification of Him.

The Platonic Ideas are also Essences and Aristotle stresses the immanent aspect while Plato the transcendental.

Specific nature is of a purely qualitative order for it is ~~affixxxxxxxx~~ truly innumerable, in any case they are always considering archtypes or the essential principle of things and such may represent what may be called the qualitative side of manifestation and by ~~the~~ direct ~~fixxxxx~~ filiation are the same

because the limit lies figuratively outside of
manifestations

Ray:

P. K. July 10 - 6⁰⁵ pm

thing as pythagorean numbers, purely qualitative corresponding universally on the side of essence to what the quantitative numbers are on the side of substance. The name of a being in so far as it is an expression of its essence is properly speaking a number understood in this qualitative sense and establishes a correspondence between Platonic Ideas and Pyth.nos. (names denote the essential side of a being)

*level
can be?*

Materia Prima, passive principle of universal manifestation; pure potentiality where nothing is distinguished or actualized, the passive support of all manifestation. Materia secunda is substance in the relative sense and what is materia at a certain moment can become form at another, in no case is it pure potency. Also it can be said of Universal substance, it is pure potency undifferentiated and undistinguishable, it is the only principle which alone can be said to be intelligible...there is in it nothing to be actually known; as for relative substance in so far as it participates in the potentiality of universal substance; they also participate in its unintelligibility. This also shows the necessity for securing explanations from above the essential side and it also explains why science can not explain anything...from the quantitative. Their inert matter would have no properties and would not be manifest in any way. Inertia properly speaking belongs to materia prima and incapable of sensible perception. It can only serve as root or support to whatever is manifested therein and that in consequence sensible qualities cannot be inherent in it but must proceed from forms implanted in it and this again refers to essence.

Materia secunda can't be devoid of all determination, the nature of this determination must now be specified. quantity is one of the very conditions of existence in the sensible or corporeal domain and it is the condition that belongs most exclusively to that world. However while there is quantity there is still no sensible manifestation although manifestation has its very roots in manifest quantity. By itself it is only a necessary presupposition

The pure idea of number is essentially that of which whole numbers or the sequence of whole numbers constitutes a discontinuous series all that extensions that have been applied to this idea and give rise to the notions of fractions and incommensurables are alterations to help reduce the intervals in the numerical discontinuity so as to lessen the imperfections inherent in the application of numbers to continuous magnitudes

...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...

...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...

...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...

...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...

...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...

...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...

...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...

...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...
...the essential side of a point...

Extension although quantitative in character like everything else belonging to the sensible world cannot be regarded as pure quantity. Those theories that generally tend to reduce all to quantity are generally atomistic; that is, introduce discontinuity to matter in order to bring it closer to the nature of numbers, ~~than~~ than to that of extension. Etymologically the word matter is veiled in obscurity... almost unintelligible! materia - mater fits in with the idea of substance as passive principle and symbolically feminine or the Latin verb metiri* to measure. For everything that can be perceived or conceived in the manifested world the Sanskrit is nama --rupa corresponding to the intelligible and and the sensible, two complementary aspects of the essence and substance of things

Measure implies determination and determination cannot be implied to the absolute undifferentiation of the ~~universal~~ universal substance so must be related to a more restricted notion. In Sk., matra, *measure, and applies to the possibilities of manifestation inherent in the spirit (atma). Measure is concerned with the domain of continuous quantity, with things that have a special character or extension itself, furthermore measure is said to be geometrical in virtue of the fact that bodies are situated in extension and occupy a certain defined part of it, that they are directly measureable, other properties are not, except to the extent that they are in some way related to its extension. Measure directly concerns extension and what's therein, it is only by the quantitative aspect of this extension that measure is made possible... but continuous quantity is a derived mode of quantity, participation in pure quantity which is inherent in materia secunda of the corporeal world and because continuity is not pure quantity, measure always carries a degree of imperfection in its numerical expression as the discontinuity of number makes a fully adequate application of number to continuous magnitude ~~impossible~~. Quantity is never that which is measured, it is that by which things are measured.

The manifestation of the possibilities of the corporeal world order take place in space, so space may be used to represent the whole domain of universal manifestation. Fundamentally measure an assignation necessarily implied in all

~~necessarily~~ manifestation, Measure is an essential part of spatial symbolism and connected with order (rita) and order essential ~~xxx~~ to the production of universe-out of chaos or the indefinite potentiality and order assimilated to an illumination - Essence the luminous pole Srishti the production of manifestation includes expression, conception and luminous radiation

If a central point in space is considered together with the radii emanating from it...it can be said these radii realize space by causing it to pass from virtuality to actuality; radii correspond to direction in space, hair symbolisms, solar rays, the 3 dimensional cross, the three elements that constitutes OM can all be designated by matras*measure of three worlds. By meditation of these matras the being realizes in himself the corresponding states or degrees of universal manifestation or existence and so becomes the measure of all things. Riti or the Latin ordo, rite that which is accomplished in conformity with order and which consequently initiates or reproduces at its own level the very process of manifestation.

Here Blake's Ancient of Days would correspond to the great Architect of the Universe Vishwakarma the spirit of universal construction

Extension is not simply and purely a mode of quantity, if space were purely quantitative it would have to be entirely homogeneous and its parts purely undistinguishable one from another by anything else except size, a container without contents; as something which cannot have an independent existence in manifestation. The presence of bodies suffices to determine quantitative differences between the parts of space occupied by different bodies. Empty space or a container without contents is absurd. Emptiness cannot have any place in manifestation. Since extension itself is in some way qualitative and therefore cannot be the basis of a purely mechanistic theory i.e. to say that body is ~~is not~~ but extension is; quantity... surface and volume which measure the portion of extension actually occupied by it are the body itself with all its properties is absurd. And how about the respective situation of these bodies. Is the situation to be defined purely by quantity, distance? No. The direction along which distance moves must be measured from a quantitative point of view cannot be a matter of indifference because space cannot be considered ~~indifferent~~ other than homogeneous; therefore if direction is an effective element in situation and if it is a purely spatial element there must be something purely spatial qualitative in the very nature of space i.e. not only has the size of figures to be taken into account but also their shape. Are a triangle and a square of equal area one and the same thing/? For there is something in shape that precludes it from being reduced to quantity.

Better yet the theory of similar figures where the purely qualitative order, shape is an assemblage of directional tendencies at every point in a line... its directional tendencies specified by a tangent and the

If a central point in space is considered together with the radii emanating from it... it can be said that these radii realize space by causing it to have form virtually to essentially; radii correspond to direction in space, their symbols are the letters of the alphabet. The three elements that constitute OM can all be designated by letters: measured three words. By the location of these letters the being realizes in himself the corresponding states or degrees of universal manifestation or existence and so becomes the measure of all things. This of the Latin word, the fact which is suggested in conformity with order and which correspondently initiates or reproduces at its own level the very process of manifestation.

Here makes evident of how would correspond to the great finished of the Universe, the spirit of universal construction.

Extension is not simply and purely a mode of quantity, if space were purely quantitative it would have to be entirely homogeneous and its parts purely undifferentiated one from another by anything else except also, a contact without contact; as something which cannot have an independent existence in itself. The presence of bodies nullifies to determine quantitative differences between the parts of space occupied by different bodies. Empty space or a container without contents is a void. Extension itself is in place in manifestation. These extension itself is in some way qualitative and therefore cannot be the basis of a purely mechanistic theory. I.e. to say that body is not extension; quantity; surface and volume which measure the portion of extension actually occupied by it are the body itself with all its particles in itself. And how about the perspective situation of these bodies. Is the situation to be defined purely by quantity, distance, or the direction along which distance movement be measured from a quantitative point of view cannot be a matter of indifference because space cannot be considered in any other than homogeneous; therefore if direction is an essential element in situation and if it is a purely spatial element there must be something purely spatial qualitative in the very nature of space. I.e. not only the size of figures to be taken into account but also their shape. The triangle and a square of equal area and the same thing. For there is something in space that precludes it from being reduced to quantity. Better yet the theory of similar figures where the purely qualitative order, space is an assemblage of directional tendencies at every point in a line... the directional tendencies specified by a tangent and the

assemblage of all the tangents defines the shape of the line. Therefore it is the notion of direction which represents the real qualitative element in space as size represents its quantitative element. In order to be effectively realized space must be related to an assembly of defined directions. Homogeneous space is a mere virtuality, it is one of the conditions defining ~~the~~ corporeal existence. These conditions are not themselves matter, quantity but is nearer to essence. Space cannot possibly extend beyond the world in order to contain it - because empty space would then be in question. Rather it is space that is in the world. It can be said that space is co-extensive with this world because it is one of its conditions

What is measured is never really a duration it is the space (which can be measured directly) covered in a certain length of a time in the course of a movement of which the law is known. Such a law expresses a relation between time and space. The mental since it belongs to the subtle manifestation has no spatial ~~character~~ character, though developed in time, is nearer to essence than the corporeal because it can reach into the subtle domain, time is more qualitative than space and therefore it is useless to look for the quantitative. Everything that has been said about space can be said about time in relation to its 'emptiness'. Realized time contains all events just as realized space contains all bodies. Periods of time are qualitatively differentiated just as parts of space are differentiated by the bodies they contain, ... therefore equivalent durations of time which are quantitatively equal when they are filled by a totally different sequences of events are not equivalent. The essential nature of event seems to be more rigidly tied to time than that of bodies to space ... time has a more qualitative character

Cyclic time or qualified time influences determination of events and the speed they unfold which is qualitative in character.

The progressive contraction of duration the limit of which corresponds to a stopping point

Descending ~~max~~ direction a gradual separation from the principle

Away from the pole or essence. Each period of the history of humanity corresponds specifically to a determinate cosmic moment therefore there is a constant correlation between the state of the world - nature and mankind whose existence is evidently conditioned by it

...of the line, therefore it is the notion of direction
which represents the real qualitative element in
space as the representation of qualitative element in
order to be effectively realized space must be related
to an assembly of defined directions. Homogeneous space
is a mere virtuality, it is one of the conditions
defining the corporeal existence. These conditions are
not themselves matter, quantity and is nearer to space
space cannot possibly extend beyond the world in order
to contain it - because every space would then be in
question. Matter is a space that is in the world, it
can be said that space is co-extensive with this
world because it is one of its conditions.
What is measured is never really a duration
it is the space (which can be measured directly) covered
in a certain length of a line in the course of a move-
ment of which the law is known. With a law expressed
a relation between time and space. The world since it
belongs to the qualitative realization has no spatial ex-
tension, duration, however, in time, is nearer to space
than the spatial because it can pass into the
spatial domain. Time is more qualitative than space and
therefore it is nearer to look for the qualitative
Everything that has been said about space can be said
about time in relation to its 'realness'. Realized
time contains all events just as realized space con-
tains all bodies. Relations of time are qualitatively
differentiated just as space and differentials
by the bodies they contain. ... Therefore qualitative
relations of time which are quantitatively equal when
they are filled by a totally different succession of
events are not equivalent. The essential nature of events
seems to be more rightly tied to time than that of
bodies to space. ... Time has a more qualitative character
than space or qualified time influenced by
time of events and the speed they unfold which in
qualitative in character.
The progressive contraction of duration the
limit of which corresponds to a stopping point
Descending with direction a gradual separation
from the principle
Away from the point or essence. Each portion of the
history of humanity corresponds specifically to a
determined causal event therefore there is a cor-
relation between the state of the world -
nature and mankind whose existence is evidently con-
ditioned by it

The scholastics looked upon materia as constituting the principle of individuation. Individuals of any one species all participate in a common nature ...so how does it come about that in spite of this community of nature these individuals are distinct beings or even that they are distinguishable from one another. Of what order is the determination which is added to specific nature so that individuals may become separate beings while remaining within the species .
Materia secunda is the principle of separativity. Quantity is a determination added to species, as species is exclusively qualitatively. Quantity will predominate over quality in individuals to the extent that they approach a condition in which they are mere individuals and nothing more and to the extent that they are more separate from one another ...It must be insisted that quantity can only separate and cannot unite
Everything that proceeds from matter produces nothing but antagonism in many diverse forms between fragmentary units which are at a point directly opposite to true unity

Principal unity which contains synthetically within itself all the qualitatively determinations of the possibilities of this domain is in fact its essential pole which must become nearer as the other becomes more remote, is represented by pure quantity with the indefinite atomic multiplicity it implies, and with the exclusion of any distinction between its elements other than numerical

This domain can be represented geometrically by a triangle of which the apex is the essential pole, which is pure quality while the base is the substantial pole, symbolized by the multiplicity of the points comprised in the base and contrasted with the single point at the apex...further the base must be supposed indefinitely removed from the apex.
If lines are drawn parallel to the base to represent different degrees of remoteness from the apex, it is evident that multiplicity which symbolizes the quantitative will be all the more accentuated as the base is approached. The principle of indiscernables (Leibnitz) by which is meant that there cannot exist anywhere 2 identical beings ...an immediate consequences of the limitlessness of universal possibilities, conversely in order that things may not be identical there must always be some qualitative difference between them and their determinations cannot be solely determinative quantitative. It is never true that two beings differ solo numero, if they did not differ qualitatively they would not even be beings, but something like divisions, exactly resembling each other, such divisions having no real existence...entia rationis.

The principles of individualism...
the principle of individualism...
species all participate in a common nature...
how does it come about in spite of this community
of nature these individuals are distinct...
even that they are distinguished from one another...
it must be that the determination which is added to
specific nature to that individual may become ac-
cording to the principle of individualism...
particulate nature while remaining within the species...
nature accounts in the principle of individualism...
it is a determination added to species as species...
in exclusively qualitatively... quantity will predominate
over quality in individuals to the extent that they
possess a condition in which they are more individ-
ual and more more and to the extent that they are
more separate from one another... it must be in nature
that quantity can only separate and cannot unite...
Everything that proceeds from matter produces nothing
but accidents in many diverse forms between themselves
any union which are at a point directly opposite to
the union.

principles which contain...
within itself all the qualitatively determinations
of the possibilities of this domain is in fact the
essential pole which must become matter as the other
becomes more matter, is represented by pure quantity
with the indeterminate atomic multiplicity it includes
and with the exclusion of any distinction between the
elements other than numerical.
This domain can be represented geometrically
by a triangle of which the apex is the essential
pole, which is pure quantity while the base is the
substantial one, symbolized by the multiplicity of the
points contained in the base and connected with the
single point at the apex... further the base must be
supposed ideally removed from the apex.
If lines are drawn parallel to the base to represent
different degrees of remoteness from the apex, it is
evident that multiplicity which symbolizes the quanti-
tative will be all the more accentuated as the base is
approached. The principle of individualism (Leibniz)
by which is meant that there cannot exist anywhere
identical beings... an identical correspondence of the
limitlessness of universal possibilities, conversely
in order that things may not be identical there must
always be some qualitative difference between them
and their determinations cannot be solely determinative
and quantitative. It is never true that two beings
after all manner, if they differ in their qualitative
if they would not even be beings, but something like
divisions, exactly resembling each other, such divisions
having no real existence... their relations.

In this remark Leibnitz does not have an adequate notion of space and time as manifested in our world, that is no account of their ontological nature is taken into account, wherein they really exist as conditions determining the special mode of existence distinguished as corporeal existence. Soon as the desire to produce a purely quantitative science arose it became inevitable that the practical applications derived from that science should share its character and these applications are generally designated by the word industry.

Of course the relation of these ideas or principles are not to be ignored especially in their application to the sphere of contingency. The ancient crafts (qualitative) unlike modern industry (quantitative) a sacred ritualistic where every trade or occupation a priesthood, and adhered to traditional principle which penetrates the whole of existence... Swadharma, activity conformable to his own particular essence. Initiation uses craft as a support to fully realize the possibilities of which his professional activities is but an outward expression. The tool engenders the craft is actually an extension of the man, whereas the machine makes of the man his servant.

In this sense, therefore, we have an abstract
vision of space and time as realized in our
world, that is no account of their ontological
nature in terms of their essence, whereas they really
exist as conditions determining the special mode
of existence distinguished as temporal existence.
Even as the desire to produce a purely qualitative
science arose it became inevitable that the
practical applications derived from that science
should mark its character and these applications
are generally designated by the word industry.

Of course the relation of these ideas
or principles are not to be looked especially in
their application to the sphere of contingency
the social order (qualitative) unlike modern
industry (quantitative) which is a historical and abstract
every trace of occupation a historical and abstract
so practical principles which penetrate the whole
of existence... whereas, actively conforming to
his own particular essence, industry does not
a subject to fully realize the possibilities of
which his professional activities is but an out-
ward expression. The soul expresses the craft in its
fully an extension of the soul, whereas the machine
marks of the soul his activity.

Time changed into space, that is it consumes it consequently contains the power to contract and tends to reduce the spatial extension to which it is opposed and it's antagonism to space unfolding with ever increasing speed, and it is qualified at every moment in a different way by the cyclical conditions to which it belongs. Not only does time compress space but also that time is itself subject to a progressive contraction, appearing in the proportionate shortening of the four Yugas/ If carried to it's extreme limit the contraction of time carried thus would in the end reduce it to a single instant and then duration would cease to exist thus "time the devourer ends by devouring itself", that is why death is the last one to die. Or as soon as succession ceases death is no longer possible, the wheel has ceased to turn. Succession is turned into or transformed into simultaneity and this can also be expressed by saying that time has changed into space. At the very moment when time seems to be finally devouring space, space in it's turn absorbs time. There is a sort of prefiguration of the absorption of time by space in the recent theories which treat the "space-time" complex as a single and indivisible whole. To say that time must become imaginary in order to become assimilable to a fourth dimension of space is as much to say that what must happen is that time should actually cease to exist as such or in other words that the transmutation of time into space is in fact only realizable at the "end of the world". When the expansive tendency of space is no longer opposed by the restrained and compressive tendency of time, then space must undergo one way or another a dilation such as will raise it indefinitely to a higher power nor can this occurrence be represented by an image borrowed from the domain of corporeal manifestation.

In reality the corporeal world is not annihilated but transmuted, and it immediately receives a new existence, because beyond the stopping point, which corresponds to the instant at which time is no more the wheel begins to turn again for the accomplishment of another cycle. The end as well as the beginning of a new cycle is timeless and this is necessarily so because of the strict analogical correspondence existing between the two extreme points. It is of note that although the end of the world, is commonly spoken of as the end of time it is never spoken of as the end of space. Another significance the inversion of the poles; since the course of the manifested world is towards the substantial pole ends at last in a reversal which brings it back by an instantaneous transmutation

to its essential pole, nor can there be a re-ascent of an exterior order following the descent the course of manifestation being such always descending from the beginning to the end. Yama is designated in the Hindu tradition as the first death and is assimilated to death itself or the Angel of death and it will be seen that the first and the last meet and become more or less identified through the correspondence between the two extremities of a cycle

...the subject of a...
...appearing in the...
...of the four...
...the contraction of...
...the end reduce it to a...
...which would cease to exist...
...end by...
...end of...
...is no longer possible...
...is turned into...
...and this can also be...
...into space. At the...
...to be finally...
...in it a turn...
...of the...
...which...
...as a...
...in order to...
...to a...
...that what...
...to exist...
...of time...
...At the...
...of space...
...and...
...one way...
...to a...
...be...
...of...
...is not

In reality the...
...but...
...a new...
...which...
...the...
...of another...
...of a new...
...of the...
...between...
...that...
...of...
...of...
...the...
...which...
...back...

The sphere is intrinsically the primordial form, because it is the least specified of all similar to itself in every direction, in such a way that any rotatory movement about its center all its successive positions are strictly superimposable upon one another, the most universal of all form and containing within itself, which will emerge by means of differentiation all the others (certain particular directions) this form has always been referred to in all traditions, Egg of the World. This first state as far as our world is concerned belongs properly to the domain of subtle manifestation in as much as the latter necessarily precedes gross manifestation and is its immediate principle. ...and is in fact never realized in this world. On the other hand the cube as opposed to the sphere as being the most arrested form of all... a maximum of specification, it is related to the earth as one of the elements, the latter being the terminal and final element of manifestation in the corporeal state ...solid and symbolizes stability, this stability coming at the end of a descending movement, is not and cannot be anything but the unqualified immobility of which the nearest representation in the corporeal world is afforded by the minerals. It is also therefore related to the substantial pole of manifestation, just as immutability in which all possibilities are comprehended in the global state represented by the sphere is the essential pole. The faces of the cube can be considered as oriented in opposite pairs corresponding to the three dimensions of space, parallel to the three planes determined by the axis forming the system of coordinates to which the space related and which allows of it being measured. These three axis forms the three dimensional cross. And this T must be looked upon as being traced through the center of the sphere which fills the whole of space through its indefinite expansion. These two forms also correspond to essence and substance in the other traditions, further these two geometrical forms and the instruments used to draw them are used in many symbolical and ritual initiation ceremonies of the western world, The squaring of the circle cannot be accomplished until the end of the cycle when the wheel stops turning and the germinal possibilities have been realized. Thus the ultimate fixation of the results of the cycle in the form of the heavenly Jerusalem where these results will become the seed of the possibilities of the future cycle... these crystallization, indeed the restoration of this primordial state demands the intervention of the transcendent principle, otherwise

the cosmos could not be saved and would evaporate into a chaos.

This same form reappears at the beginning of the embryonic existence of every individual comprised in that cyclic development, the individual embryo (pinda) being the microcosmic analogy of what the egg of the world is in the macrocosmic order (brahmanda)

The point is not the earth as an element is assimilated simply and solely to the solid state but rather that it is the very principle of solidity.

Also the spherical form is attributed to the the primordial Light or the Spirit in the Islamic traditic (Er-Ruh). The celestial bodies are elliptical in their movements around the sun, the ellipse constitutes the first specification of the circle, by the splitting of the center into two poles or foci in the direction of one of the diameters which thereafter plays special axial part while at the same time all the other diameters are differentiated one from another in respect of their lengths. If the sun occupies one of the foci and then what about the other ?

The ritual garments of the ancient sovereigns in China had to be round at the top and square at the bottom the king then represented the type of man himself (Jen) in his cosmic function as the third term in the great triad exercising the function of intermediary between Heaven and earth

Nature takes the simplest course is a pseudo principle that projects a mental laziness and the further notion (eglatarian) of bringing within the reach off all ...science, an infantile least common denominator is look for. A distinction must here be made between matters of fact and mental constructs. In the latter such hypotheses as the above may be made indefinitely for, they exclude an effective oder of manifestation ...but in the former such hypothesis are easily refuted by nature itself.

Everthing that is possible is for that reason real in its own order and according to its own mode and that universal possibility being necessarily infinite everything that is other than a sheer impossibility has its place therein. Parallel to this notion of simplicity, the tendency towards uniformity a unification turned upside down. True unity the essential indivisibility of true unity so as to exclude the idea that unity is in any way composite. The atoms, are bodies (necessarily so in the corporeal world) and by definition extended and extension is indefinitely divisible so that a body is always made up of parts so that the notion of indivisible parts is self-contradictory. Principal unity contains all that constitutes the ~~ess~~ essences of manifested beings. When considered from the point of view of a descent into a lower degree. Further more extinction of the ego refers to that unity wherein all quality subsists transformed and in its fulness and that distinction freed from all seperative limitations carried to its highest level. In the course of its ~~highest~~ descent to the lower level of existence limitation becomes even narrower even to the point of completely suppressing the inherent possibilities in the nature of beings. The tendency towards simplification is therefore in keeping with the Yuga in question.

A typical example Protestantism where complete suppression of ~~x~~ rites, predominance of morality over doctrine and even that reduced so simply that it can be interpreted to suit anyone.

When it is said that the formation of the world started from chaos then the point of view in question is solely that of substance and the beginning therefor is timeless for obviously time doesnot exist except in the cosmos; but if the order of development of manifestation is being taken into account the starting point is the essential pole in conformity with the cyclic laws and takes the form of a continuous recession.

Considering that the germ of any being must necessarily contain the virtuality of all that the being must be in the future so that all the possibilities which will be devolved in the course of time (its existence) must be included in the germ to start. The conclusion therefore is that the origin of things must be exceedingly complex...the qualitatively complexity of essence. The germ is only small in relation to quantity or substance...by symbolically transposing the idea of its size, it can be deduced that through inverse analogy what is least in quantity must be greatest in quality. The gospel parable of the grain of mustard seeds may be recalled here. The Messiah himself is called Seed.

Leibnitz; "every system is true in what it affirms and false in what it denies." The error corresponding to the reality that it excludes and it is precisely the negative and limitative side of a system ~~that~~ which constitutes it as such.

Each angel represents as it were the expression of a divine attribute.

Notes on the Eranes Yearbooks
(I) the mysteries

The notion of a soul grew out of and did not precede the idea of salvation, nor did the idea of salvation always lead to the concept of a soul. The saving clarity—a heroic clarity—attested for by the medium of revelation from the unconsciousness. Mahayana Buddhism's great adventure consisted in escaping from our nature by freeing ourselves from the act; though this act is what we are made. In regaining our energies from captivity and once more putting them to work without succumbing to egotism; it consists in strictly disciplining ourselves, our vital functions and creative genius and so building worlds which do not obstruct the expansion of our self, but on the contrary enrich us with free creative force. If we succeed we cease to be slaves and achieve full independence by fashioning ourselves. It remains true that every striving for cure exacts creative initiative for the impediment must be surpassed. We must make for ourselves a new road of life, which no one else can show us, a road situated beyond good and evil. The Gods of Brahmanism are all anthropomorphized rites. The axis of religious no longer lies in karma, either in the Brahman sense of the rite or the Buddhist sense of the accomplished act but in the absolute, nothing exists, nothing happens but in It.

Grace can only be understood in this way
Bhakti... The possibility that the God will participate in the destiny of those who believe in him, and nothing is more normal than that the immanence of the absolute in the relative (nature operating as grace itself)

The notion of a soul grew out of and did not precede the idea of salvation, nor did the idea of salvation always lead to the concept of a soul. The saving activity - a heroic activity - consisted for the mystics of revelation from the unconscious. The mystic's great adventure consisted in escaping from our nature of feeling ourselves free and active, not as we are, but as we are not. In revealing our energies, we are not more putting them to work without ourselves, but rather in strictly identifying ourselves to ourselves; it consists in strictly identifying ourselves, not that function and creative power, but in identifying ourselves which do not depend on the expansion of our self, but on the contrary, rather on the creative force. If we speak of us as to be identified, we speak of us as to be identified by revealing ourselves. It remains true that every activity for our own sake is an activity for the individual, but it is not an activity for the individual, which we must make ourselves a part of life, which we can show us, a soul attached to a soul, and not as a soul of ourselves, and all anthropomorphized. The axis of existence is no longer life in nature. The axis of existence of the rise or the fall, either in the human sense of the rise or the fall, is the axis of the individual, not in the absolute, but in the relative, which happens out in it.

Grace can only be understood in this way. The possibility that the God will participate in the destiny of those who believe in him, and nothing is more certain than the immensity of the response in the relative (nature operating as grace itself).

The Mysteries of Kabeiroi

The atmosphere, the sensuous quality of a nocturnal festival that in fact it is a fixed event of the calendar. *Mysteria* was the name given in Athens. The event determines the atmosphere of the day, on that day everything became as it were Pithoigian. The emergence of the substantive Pithoigian for the action appears to be a late materialization and fixation. The original and truly festive form is the adjectival atmospheric plural.

"A genuine mystery is one that is experienced, venerated, lived, in other words is not kept especially secret...and yet remains forever a mystery. The secret itself may be less important than the fact that it is kept secret".

Rational formulation encompasses only the general-detached from the individual instance it speaks of my life, it does not express it for this life is inexpressible. On the existential level we act and we suffer nor can words adequately express *life and pure and simple. Note emotion: individual: reason general which detaches us from the peculiar concretizing movement of feelings.

By mythological images the Attic mysteries easily led man back to the natural roots of their existence. Man's continuity with history, back to the profoundest sources of his life and the world of his ancestors

Christianity consciously passed beyond to take root in a wholly different supernatural realm

The context was a marriage-myth; represented and experienced the primeval marriage the veiling was a phase preliminary to the unveiling: *Anakalypteria*, the past that is present in the soul.

Principal goddess of the Eleusian cult Demeter and her daughter Kore, the maiden or Persephone and Pluto who abducted her king of the underworld. Homeric Hymn Demeter was associated with the growth of man to whom after death she was a mother...receiving him in her womb...the earth. Generation and fertility and particularly the growth of grain are indissolubly bound up with death. Without death there would be no procreation, man receives the fertility or creativity which is indispensable to him from the hands of death.

The high point of the Eleusian Mystery was a vision "Happy is he who has seen it!"

The essence of the novel is the human quality of a person. The novel is not a mere record of events, but a living, breathing entity. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it. The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it.

The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it. The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it.

The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it. The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it.

The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it. The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it.

The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it. The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it.

The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it. The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it.

The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it. The novel is a form of art that is unique and powerful. It is a story that is told in a way that makes the reader feel as if he is a part of it.

On the soul(Plutarch)compares death and its terrors which are suddenly transformed into the beatitude of the soul freed from suffering with the emotion and transfiguration of the mystai. Who once they have beheld the sublime vision no longer doubt. The ear of grain is an ~~epiphany~~ epiphany of Persephone herself, her mythical first re-occurrence in the shape of a grain after her descent into the ~~real~~ realm of the dead. In the moment Kore was called, the hierophant struck a bronze gong, the kingdom of the ~~dead~~ dead has burst open (funeral rites) she rose from the dead, she appeared. (A great oriental cult rite the Chinese evocation of ~~KIM~~ Confucius knows that in this sphere rational concepts are not adequate. The simplest settings and actions and settings here producing an overwhelming effect on all present. At the crucial moment when the sublime spirit has been ~~sum~~ summoned prepares to appear when the great drum begins to beat and accelerate into a breath taking rhythm even the enlightened no longer doubts the real presence, they are taken into the myth and it becomes a reality.) The true myth is inseparably bound up with the cult. The once upon a time is also a now and only in its twofold unity does a myth fulfill it's true ~~essence~~ essence. The cult is it's present form, the re-enactment of an archtypal event -situated in the past, but essentially eternal. And the ~~witnesses~~ the mystai are witnesses to these events, divine presence, realized myth. These men were familiar enough with the authentic myth to experience the moment in it's eternal quality and immediate divinity. It was sublimation to a higher existence. A transformation of his entire being (conversion) And is that nota rebirth. In the cult the human community meets the Godhead.

On the soul (mind) comparison level and its
errors which are suddenly translated into the
position of the soul from entering with the
emotion and translation of the world. Who
once they have held the entire vision no longer
holds. The act of grain is an ~~xxxxxx~~ ~~xxxxxx~~ ~~xxxxxx~~
xxxxxxxxxxxxxx. The actual level of the soul
in the case of a grain after her descent into the
scale of the soul. In the actual level was called, the
reformation of a process goes, the position of the
head has been open (xxxxxx) (xxxxxx) (xxxxxx) (xxxxxx)
the head, the position. A great oriental cult like
the Chinese elevation of the Confucius knows that
in this sphere rational concepts are not adequate. The
rational feeling and action and action have pro-
duced an overwhelming effect on all present. At the
critical moment when the entire spirit has been ex-
hausted prepared to appear when the great one de-
cides to rise and accelerate into a fourth being type
even the enlightened no longer doubt the real grain
and, they are taken into the grain and it becomes a
reality. The true grain is inseparably bound up
with the grain. Once upon a time it is also a new
and only in the world daily does a grain fulfill
it's true function. The grain is it's present
love, the re-creation of an archetypal event - all
level in the grain, but essentially eternal. And the
xxxxxxxxxxxxxx the actual and witnesses to grain
every divine presence, realized with. These men with
faith enough with the authentic with so experience
the moment in it's eternal quality and immediate a
divinity. It was sublimation to a higher existence.
(transformation of his entire being) (conversion)
and in that case rebirth. In the cult the human
community needs the Godhead.

Actual relation of dependency between the ancient mysteries and nascent christainty-the concept of rebirth was common. The liturgical-mystical drama in which the members of the cult re-enacted the death and resurrection of the god (cult) with a view to participating in his transcendent powers. A common factor in the cult ideas that in the ancient mysteries took a shadowy incomplete yet somehow prototypical form (as a kind of guide toward Christ by the all prevailing Logos.

The distinction between dependence in the genetic sense and the dependence of adaptation-in other words what the early christain fathers borrowed was not substance but expression. The church is no ready made structure existing in a vacuum but a continuous incarnation of God. Catholic theology would say into the God oriented nature common to all men a religiosity manifesting it self always in the same primal forms and receptive to a possible revelation can only speak in human words; common archetypal elements.

Strictly historical sphere of influence from the middle ~~contact from above~~

Contact from above, a God given purpose runs through the religious development of mankind.

On the basis of significant ethnological studies were a religious heritage of the world into which the Greeks entered the final outgrowth of the great pre-aryan Great Mother religions transfigured by the Hellenic spirit and yet essentially pre Greek. The mysterization was not completed at the dawn of the Christain era, in fact it had not gathered it's full impetus. The mystery cult was a religion of the feeling. "the mystai are not intended to learn anything but to suffer something and thus be made worthy" Aristotle Mysterion is the free decision of God taken in Eternity and hidden in the depths of the Godhead to save man who from his sinfulness has been separated from God. This hidden decision is revealed in Christ, the man-God who by his death gives life to all men. That is he calls them to participate in his own divine life which through the ethical will is comprehended in faith and sacrament and transcends death in the beatific vision

The mysterion is always both a manifesting and a concealment of the divine act of salvation a supernatural drama transcending all human nature and all natural thought. The great drama of the revelation of God in Christ and in particular the whole Old testament story of salvation conceived as service parable finding it's key and explanation in Christ. His death on the cross and within the church the sacraments and formulations of the truth embodied in the symbol of faith-mysterion. These acts and rites and words flow from God's

Actual relation of dependency between the ancient
 mysticism and nascent Christianity--the concept of Christ
 was common. The liturgical-mystical movement in which the
 leaders of the cult re-announced the death and resurrec-
 tion of the God (Christ) with a view to participating
 in his transcendent power. A common factor in the
 cult means that in the ancient mysticism there is a kind of
 incorporation yet somewhat prophylactic form (as a kind of
 guide toward Christ by the all-prevailing logos.
 The distinction between dependence in the general sense
 and the dependence of abstraction-in other words what the
 early Christian fathers perceived was not substance but
 extension. The church is no ready-made structure ex-
 isting in a vacuum but a continuous interaction of God
 and man. Theology would say into the God-oriented
 mysticism common to all men a religiously manifesting is
 self always in the same primal form and receptive to
 a possible revelation can only speak in human words;
 common archetypal elements.

Specific historical aspects of influence from
 the ancient mysticism

Contact first above, a God given purpose runs
 through the religious development of mankind.
 On the basis of significant archaeological
 studies were a religious horizon of the world into
 which the Greeks entered the final outgrowth of the
 great pre-Christian Greek mystery religions transmitted
 by the Hellenic spirit and yet essentially pre-Greek.
 The mysticism was not completed at the dawn of the
 Christian era, in fact it had not gathered its full
 impact. The mystery cult was a religion of the feeling.
 "The mystic are not interested in facts, anything but to
 enter something and thus be safe worthy. Aristotle
 mysticism is the true decision of God taken in humanity
 and action in the depths of the soul. To see who
 from his divinity has been separated from God. This
 human decision is revealed in Christ, the man-God who
 by his death gives life to all men. That is the call from
 of participation in his own divine life which through the
 ethical will is comprehended in faith and sacrament and
 transcendent death in the Pacific vision.

The mysticism is always both a marriage
 and a consecration of the living act of salvation a
 sacramental union transcending all human nature and all
 natural thought. The great dream of the revelation of God
 in Christ and in particular the world did testament
 story of mysticism conceived as sacred parable. It is
 not a key and explanation to Christ. His death on the
 cross and within the church the sacrament and formula
 ions of the world embodied in the spirit of faith.
 Mysticism. These acts and words live from God's

unfathomable plan concealed and intimate. It is a mystery of salvation by grace and ethical law and revelation. Christain revelation is not myth but history and ~~as~~ deposit is the visible church, new testament, apostolic tradition, the sacraments. At no stage do the mysteries bear comparison with the ethical commandments of the new testament. The salvation proclaimed by christ presuppose the ethical fall from grace. A redemption from guilt and not a liberation of the substance of flesh. It is not man who raises himself up to God, but god who descends conferring upon man the divine grace. Hence Christain ity is a mystery of redemption by grace. A fundamental law of religious ~~xxx~~ development. The concepts and expressions for the higher realms of religious all have their ultimate origin in the lower sphere. It lies in the very nature of the symbolic development or word and action that a spiritual meaning can never be fully and exhaustively expressed in sensuous terms. The cross is the recapitulation of the work of creation it is the epitome the simple sign the sensuous symbol of something vast and unknown. It arises from Golgotha to heaven embracing the cosmos It is erected in the same place where adam was once created where he lies buried and also the second Adam.

The water of life springs up at the tree of life. The redeeming power of Gods death on the cross as the water gained the power to give life, he might purify the waters.

Baptism is the fundamental mystery of christainity the true initiation into participation in the divine life of the dead and ressurected Christ One must distinguish between christain baptism and the purely ritual and magical natural and not at all ethical purification and renewal of the hellenes. Nor succumb to the exaggerated sacramentalism grafted on to the Pauline theology. Magical efficacy is out of the question for it (baptism, proceeded from the free and personal will of the God man). The mystery of the og-goad the symbol of the number eight, the baptismal font is the grave of transitory life, like mother earth it is grave and the womb but in an entirely higher sense, the symbol of rebirth through baptism. Eternal life finds its mystical beginnings in the water and its fulfilment in the bliss and peace eternal in the divin vision (they tended to buld their baptistry in octagonal shape, eight corned rail sourrounding the fount Jesus immer sed in the Jordan is the symbol of that divine humilty in which he later immersed himself in death. The cross is the tree of life and bearer of ligh in one and both stand for Christ himself.

The Roman liturgy refers to the baptismal font as an immaculate uterus and states that the church lik

Mary bears it's children purely through the power of the spirit, The immersed candle of the baptismal ritual is the same as the immersed cross; the easter candle is the symbol of Christ crucified and not phallic at all

Willi Orphic Mysteries & Greek Spirit

The intrusion of the numinous was to be the decisive event in the history of Medeterean culture. Only immortality separated God from Man, there was no transcendent being, there was no ethical, metaphysical or genealogical distinction between man and god. Because man in the prerational stage did not yet feel himself the originator of his own decisions. Primordial acceptance of ~~faith~~ fate (moira) by Ionian rhapsodist indicated a realistic pessimism. Dionysus brought the orgiastic cult of the bacchantes an accomplishment celebrated with striking frequency by the Greek tragic poets, the drama, and orphic mysteries. A doctrine of atonement stain and purification, one of the most essential expressions of Dionysus is to be found in the orphic mysteries. They were named after the mythical figure of the orphic mystery. By the sixth century Orpheus was definitely a myth. Orpheus and his God Dionysus had close ties

Thracian origin, forgotten father, mother the muse Calliope

Quest of his wife Eurydice

Orpheus torn to pieces by Thracian women, the prophet suffers the same fate as his god. Dionysus Zagreus the rendering to pieces is sacramental.

The epic hymn became more important than sacred action. The central theme of the song - theogony therefore no special place was required, any dwelling could do.

The myth of Phanes (the bringer of light or the glittering one) Protogonos, Eros Dionysus, Metis Daughter Nyx the first to behold him then begot Gaea Uranus, Cronus. After he had devoured Phanes the ~~water~~ essential form of the universe became manifest in Zeus. Zeus destroyed all the titans and from their ashes arose the still living human race, which has reflections of the titanic and terrible, a negative view to Aeschylus previous Prometheus

Because the Titans had eaten Dionysus they also contain the divine, an evolution of man as good and evil; the orphic orogonal sin - the titan-Dionysus origin of man created the belief that the body was the tomb of the soul. The center of the Orphic mysteries and also the Pythagoreans had taken over the soma-sema from the orphics. The third Dionysus Z Lyseus - Athena saved the heart of Dionysus Z and Zeus ate it ... The one split into the many, a primal rhythm. Doctrine of Transmigration

Authentic Mysteries but what are these? The mystai covered themselves with plaster to represent their titanic nature but the center of the

The inclusion of the main part was to be the
 decisive event in the history of the Ophic Kyster
 Only immortally separated God from man, there was
 no transposition being, there was no ethical, metaphysical
 or genealogical distinction between man and God
 because man in the original stage did not yet feel
 himself the originator of his own decisions, immortally
 acceptance of the (Ophic) (Kyster) by the Ophic Kyster
 indicates a realistic position. Ophic Kyster's
 realistic cult of the past, as an accomplishment
 celebrated with striking frequency by the Greek spirit
 gods, the drama, and Ophic Kyster's. A doctrine of
 movement, brain and purification, one of the most
 actual expressions of Ophic Kyster is to be found in
 Ophic Kyster. They were named after the Ophic
 figure of the Ophic Kyster. By the sixth century
 Ophic was definitely a Ophic Kyster and his God
 Ophic had close ties
 Ophic origin, forgotten later, rather than the main
 Ophic

Guest of his wife Ophic
 Ophic torn to pieces by Ophic women, the
 Ophic Ophic the same late as his God, Ophic
 appears the rendering to pieces is sacramental.
 The epic Ophic seems more important than sacred
 action. The central theme of the song - theory
 therefore no special piece was required, any Ophic
 ing could do.

The Ophic of Ophic (the printer of light or
 the Ophic Ophic, Ophic Ophic, Ophic Ophic
 Ophic Ophic the first to hold his then Ophic Ophic
 Ophic Ophic. After he had Ophic Ophic Ophic
 essential form of the Ophic became Ophic in
 Ophic Ophic all the Ophic and Ophic Ophic
 Ophic across the Ophic living human race, which has
 reflections of the Ophic and Ophic, a negative
 view to Ophic Ophic Ophic
 because the Ophic had Ophic Ophic
 they also contain the Ophic, an Ophic of man as
 God and evil; the Ophic Ophic the Ophic
 Ophic Ophic of man Ophic the Ophic that the
 Ophic was the Ophic of the Ophic. The center of the Ophic
 Ophic and also the Ophic Ophic had Ophic Ophic
 the Ophic from the Ophic. The Ophic Ophic
 Ophic - Athens Ophic the Ophic of Ophic Ophic and
 Ophic Ophic into the Ophic, a Ophic
 Ophic Ophic of Ophic Ophic
 Ophic Ophic but what are Ophic?
 the Ophic Ophic Ophic with Ophic to Ophic
 Ophic Ophic nature but the Ophic of the

mysteries must have been the ~~the~~ suffering of the threefold Dionysus and the related redemption of man. We hardly know anything of the mysteries of Samathrace or Eleusis. The importance of orphism in Greek thought can hardly be over-estimated. Which would included the notion of the here-after, Retribution and the neo-platonists. Thracians the greatest nation on earth after the Indians according to Herodtus said. They celebrated death and mourned for the new-born. The successive Greek cultures in miniature; archaic metropolitan, Homeric Aeolian, Hesodic, Ionian, Pythagorean-Italian, Sicilian Empodiclean

The rite began after a threefold sacred purification—a bath in the sea, then on the road to Eleusis and finally within the sacred confines. Catharis by water played an important part. The image of Iacchus, a god early assimilated to Dionysus solemnly borne over the highway from Athens to El. The rape of Kore by Hades and the wandering of Demeter for her lovely Kore. In the sacred night, the climax of the mysteries: the divine birth in which Demeter bears Pluto, symbol of wealth and abundance. The irrational religious core of these sacred actions consisted in the ~~seffigy~~ effigy of the womb which the devoted had to touch.

Master of an aristo ethos based on traditional values, precursor of Ionian metaphysics. Pindar for instance speaks of three different worlds. Plato's writing are essentially two antithetical forms of expression (expression of inner, intrinsically extra rational experiences). The orphic myth has been subordinated to a metaphysical pure substance—the Idea. He openly confessed in the seventh letter that the highest in Philosophy cannot be stated but after laborious thought arises suddenly as a ~~fraxx~~ fire in the soul and then feeds on itself.

Eros : Desire and Vision the platonic
Eros includes the basic irrational forces; desire for the beautiful sensory vision and common striving of those who love. Both prisoners and the thinker need a release from their chains and a cure for ~~non~~ non thinking. Parable of the cave. Orphic experience re-appeared in the pure thought of Plato the metaphysican

Prakriti, undifferentiated primal matter, potentiality
V and correlative as substance (pure) composed of
V a triplicity of the 3Gunas
V

Buddhi / or Mahat the suprapersonality potentiality of
V experiences, intellectual principle
V

Ahankara egoity a function appropriating the data of con-
V sciousness and wrongly assigning them to Purusa
V

the 5 karmendriya manas (faculty of
(faculties of action) (faculty of
thought)

5 jnanendriya (faculties of sense)

5 tanmatra the subtle primary ele-
ments realized as the inner
subtle counterparts of the
5 sense experience
V
V
V

parama-anu (subtle atoms realized in
the experiences of the sub-
tle body)
V
V

sthula-bhutanu the 5 gross elements ether
air fire water earth
constituting all the
gross body and the vis-
ible tangible world real-
ized in sense experiences

(Description of action) (Location of
the 2 main bodies)

(Description of cause)

(Description of cause) the subject of the
2 elements

the body
the experiences of the
subject above related to
between-air

lead to some experience
the passage world body
those body and the air
connecting all the
air. The water body
the 2 those elements either
single-phenomenon

experience and widely analyzing the date of
action
of the 2 main bodies
of the 2 main bodies
of the 2 main bodies
of the 2 main bodies

experience, individuality potentiality of
the body
the body
the body
the body

Purusha-Subjective
(Spirit)

Prakriti-Objective
(Nature)

Purusha Permeates and Prevades All.

Prakriti (Avyakta) Chaos

Mahat Universal Intelligence

Ahamkara Ego sense

Manas Central sense organ or mind

Manas the guide of the following indriyas through
sense consciousness

The indriyas or organs of action and perception are:

Rajasic

5 abstract knowing senses
Buddhindriyas

5 abstract working senses
Karmaindriyas

Hearing Srotra

Speaking

Touch Tvach

Grasping

Seeing Chakshus

Moving

Tasting Jihva

Generating organs

Smelling ghrana

Excretory " "

~~वृक्षस्य~~

5 Maha Bhutas the gross elements

1 Ether Akasha

Tamasic

2 Air Vayu

3 Fire Tejas

4 Water Apas

Earth Prithivi

~~वृक्षस्य~~

5 Tanmatras (subtlest form of matter)

Satvic

Sound (Shabd)

Touch (Sparsha)

Color (Rupa)

Savor (Rasa)

Odor (Gandha)

Universal-Intelligence
(Hawaii)
Universal-Intelligence and Universal-Intelligence

Universal-Intelligence (Hawaii)
Universal-Intelligence

Names of the following industries through
some connections
The industries or organs of action and perception are:

Industry

Industry knowing
Industry

Industry
Industry
Industry
Industry
Industry

Industry
Industry
Industry

Industry
Industry
Industry
Industry
Industry

Industry (Industry)

Industry

Industry (Industry)
Industry (Industry)
Industry (Industry)
Industry (Industry)
Industry (Industry)

Indian philosophic thought is concerned with the analysis of experience and not of matter, and the chemist's matter is not even in question. Thus the five bhutas are preceded by the five sensation generals called ~~Matras~~ bhuta-matras (later called tan-matras) they have no other meaning except the inferred; the imagined origins or concomitants, moving or stationary of the sensations Order flavor etc., as they are actually perceived by means of the senses operating in the physical body, as varieties in these sensation as distinguished from the general ones. From the experience of variation in the five general objects of perception there are produced also the five important factors of the physical. These five are collectively designated in Sanskrit by the name Bhutas, the ever passing have-beens (never the are) which are but ghost (also means that) of the real. IN any case the real elements are the elements of sensation (what we would now-a-days term sense data* Even these are elements only in the psychological sense. They represent the elements in which our experience can be analysed, and even if considered atomic, the atoms must be regarded as psychological irreducible. These tanmatras are the essences of the senses found in sound, touch, color, flavour, order. There is no difference in perception as for instances/ the essence of a sound is one, but sounds may be acute, grave and vary in gamut. Each bhuta has a specific quality of vibration or rhythm which is produced by the resistance of Tamo Guna on the expansion of Rajo Guna. i.e., the vibration of akash is represented by a circle enclosing innumerable dots signifying the atomic movement within limitless space Vayu has a circular vibration noticed in the whirlwinds which cause dust leaves to gyrate in a circular manner. Tejas has a triangular rhythm noticed in a flame which darts upward in a conical shape. Apas has a semi-circular vibration as the undulating flow of the ocean wave. Prithivi has a angular vibration which divides matter into angular particles. The world of men and nature is a combination of these various vibrations

Indian philosophical thought is concerned with
the analysis of experience and not of matter. Thus
the physicist's matter is not even in question. Thus
the five bhutas are produced by the five elements
generally called *panchabhutas* (later called
panchajanya) they have no other meaning except the
intended; the imagined origin or consciousness, may-
ing or questioning for the sensation. Other bhutas
etc. as they are actually perceived by means of the
senses operating in the physical body as varieties
in these sensations as distinguished from the general
ones. From the experience of varieties in the five
general objects of perception there are produced
also the five important factors of the physical. These
five are collectively designated in Sanskrit by the
name *pancha*. The ever passing *pancha* (never the
same) which are but *pancha* (also means *pancha*) of the
real. In any case the real elements are the elements
of sensation (what we would now-a-days term sense data).
Even these are elements only in the psychological
sense. They represent the elements in which our expe-
rience can be analyzed, and even if considered atomic, the
atoms must be regarded as psychological first-order
these sensations are the sensation of the senses. There
is a real, color, flavor, odor, there is no dif-
ference in perception as for instance, the sensation
of a sound is one, but acoustics may be sound, taste and
very in *pancha*. Each *pancha* has a specific quality of
vibration or rhythm which is produced by the vibration
of the same on the expansion of *pancha* (Sanskrit).
The vibration of each is represented by a circle
enclosing irregularities dots signifying the atomic
movement within limits. *pancha* has a circular
vibration noticed in the whirlwinds which cause dust
leaves to gyrate in a circular manner. *pancha* has a tri-
angular rhythm noticed in a line which turns up-
ward in a conical shape. *pancha* has semi-circular vibra-
tion as the undulating line of the ocean waves. *pancha*
has a regular vibration when divided matter into
angular particles. The world of men and nature is a
mixture of these various vibrations

Sankhya concerned with the domain of Nature, Universal manifestation, all references to the sage Kapila are in all probability of a purely symbolic character. Derives from enumeration or catalogue also occasionally reasoning; devotes itself to the regular enumeration of the different degrees of manifested being. Summed up in the distinction and consideration of the 25 tattvas or true principles and elements which correspond to those degrees revealed in their hierarchical order. Its starting point is Prakriti or pradhana which is Universal substance, undifferentiated or unmanifested in itself but from which all things proceed as by modification. The first tattva is the root (mula) then follows Buddhi or the great principle. The pure intellect transcendent relatively to the individual, in manifestation but of a universal order. Next individual consciousness (ahankara) a particularization or determination in its turn what follows the elements. The 5 tanmatras, elementary and incorporeal and non-perceptible determinations which will be the respective principles of the five bhutas or corporeal elements. Next follow the individual faculties produced by the differentiation of the individual consciousness of which they may be said to represent so many functions and these are reckoned to be 11 in number ten external and one internal. The five faculties of knowledge in the bodily sphere include the 5 faculties of sensation and the 5 faculties of action. The internal faculty is manas. And lastly the 5 corporeal elements ordered this time in the order of their production or manifestation ether air fire water and earth. Up to this point Sankhya considers things only in relation to substance taken in its universal substance.

Prakriti; it is endowed with 3 gunas or constituent qualities which in its primordial indifferention are in perfect equilibrium. Every modification of substance represents a rupture of this equilibrium and all beings in their various states of manifestation participate in varying degrees in the three gunas. They are not therefore states, but conditions of universal existence to which all manifested beings are subjected and it is important to distinguish them from special conditions determining this or that state such as space or time. The 3 gunas are sattva, conformity to the pure essence of being or Sat which is identified with the intelligible light or knowledge and is represented by an ascending tendency; rajas the expansive ~~primitiva~~ impulse in obedience to which a being develops in a certain state and at a determined level at existence and tamas or

obscurity assimilated to ignorance and described as a downward tendency. Not of a moral or even psychological nature. Alongside this darshana and closely identified with it, Yoga

... in all probability it is purely empirical... in character... also occasionally... the regular enumeration of the different degrees of mental... and consideration of the 25 letters or 25... and elements which correspond to those... given revealed in their hierarchical order... starting point is a series of... Universal substance... as in itself but from which all things proceed as by... the first cause is the... then follows... two... individual... next... distinction... follows... intergroup and non-perceptible... which will be the respective principles of the... of... all... individual consciousness of which they may be said... to represent so many functions and these are... to be 11 in number ten external and one internal... the five faculties of knowledge in the bodily sphere... include the 5 faculties of sensation and the 5... faculties of action... And lastly the 5 corporeal elements ordered... time in the order of their production or earliest... action... Ganyu considers things only in relation to substance... taken in its universal substance... ... it is endowed with 5... or consistent qualities which in its primordial... differentiation are in perfect equilibrium... modification of substance represents a rupture of... equilibrium and all beings in their various states... of manifestation participate in varying degrees in... the three gunas... they are not therefore states but... conditions of universal existence to which all... lesser beings are subjected and it is important to... distinguish these from special conditions... this or that state such as space or time... the 5 gunas are active, consciously so the pure essence of being... or that which is identified with the... lighter knowledge and is represented by an ascending... tendency;... the expansive... substance to which a being develops in a certain... and at a determined level of existence and time of

Mind in its passive aspect is sensibility which receives impression in its own forms of space and time, in its active aspects mind is understanding which works on ~~ix~~the raw material supplied by the above with its own concepts and categories and thus produces judgements which constitute knowledge proper. The understanding gives it an intelligible form, our knowledge proper would be impossible without either. Results the I justify the thing in itself or 2 abolish the thing in it self. Fichte rejects this supposed unknowable substance sources of sense impressions and retain the mind or the ego as the sole reality. The function of thought according to Kant is three kinds apprehension judgement and reasoning. Thought begins with the simple apprehension This is the first moment. The object posited posited by thought as apprehension is a self identical, non analyzed datum. Understanding the second movement analyzes what is given in apprehension and produces judgements, splitting the given into a duality of subject and ~~abject~~ predicate. Reason the third movement of thought unifies the elements distinguished by several judgements and works them once more into a concrete whole, a syllogism in which the distinct elements are preserved and yet converted into a new unity, this last object of apprehension the starting point of a fresh triple movement

According to Kant the categories are the abstract internal forms of thought. we do not realize their nature until we apply them in determination of objects sensed in the forms of space and time. Perception of an object in space and time takes place then through the materialization or external reference of the inward principles. But sense and understanding are but forms of consciousness of thought ...but for this externalization of thought no objects would appear to us. In Hegel the thought in a particular knower is nothing but that infinite thought and therefore it can know itself. The cause of a feeling being thinkable as a cause cannot be an unknowable thing in itself.

Spinoza points out that all determination (~~of existence~~ or affirmation of some positive character) since negation since it implies by observation the negation of its contradictory. Hegel points out that the converse of this dictum is also true, all negation is also determination (or affirmation of some positive character) self negation is involves self completion, self perfection and not mere denial of the self. What moves the world is contradiction.

Thought is not only distinction, it is also at the same time a relation, it marks off one thing from another. A thing which has nothing to distinguish it is unthinkable but equally unthinkable is a thing which is so separated from all other things as to have no community with them. An absolute difference is something which cannot exist within the intelligible world and the thought which attempts to fix such a difference is unconscious of its own meaning. If the world as intelligible is a world of distinction differentiation it is equally true that it is intelligible there are no absolute separations, no antagonism which cannot be reconciled. All differences presupposes a unity and is itself an expression of that unity. Hegel denies the absolute validity of the laws of identity and contradiction not the laws themselves.

Nature Mysticism, in all its phases is rooted in, and emphasizes the principle of Divine Immanence. Whereas philosophical mysticism is founded on and lends strength to the transcendence of God over the world. Religious mysticism when it is full-orbed and at its best in its quest for and union with the personal God, combines the two, for in spite of the ceaseless tension, transcendence and immanence are reconciled in personality and only there. (sic)

The Roman Catholic church treats contemplatives as a special class, above the rank and file of her adherents.

Mysticism a) utterance on the part of the human spirit to surrender to another, an instinctive impulse b) an expression of the soul's craving to be deified c) the outflow of the soul's longing to for immediacy in all its intercourse and contacts with God

Page 53-54 reveals a startling ignorance that seeks final justification in the narrowness and provinciality of its outlook. Especially in our day when the that which is attempting some solution is forced to consider the extent of influence these people exercise, the ignorance may eventually be destroyed but not the willingness to stay that way for the strength of such colossal prejudice or egotism deny reason.

"I should maintain that mystics are the only thoroughgoing empiricists in the history of philosophy". Royce The World & the Individual

James insists that the ultimate reality with which the mystic comes into touch ...the reality experienced is ineffable, and through this the experience it itself is ineffable.

The insistence ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ of confusion on which a clear example to be found in p.83 is an example of the inability to distinguish between ~~the~~ the formulations within consciousness itself regardless of how sublime, merged and fused with that within which this formulations takes place this insight is trans-subjective.

According to Hughes mysticism consists;

- a) an assertion of individuality
- b) under the sway of love
- c) immediate contact with God in a union of love
- d) creative energy and fruitful service

Quotes Stewart "Transcendental feeling where

by we become conscious of that which was is and ever shall be". He believes that the mythical features in the Platonic writings were meant to awaken and foster this feeling and so give men insight into the eternal realities ... "the most trustworthy report (as to the problem and meaning of life) reaches consciousness through feeling, for feeling stands nearer to thought than thought does to the basal self or personality which is indeed at once the living problem of the universe and its living solution".

Again this paragraph brings into focus the clearly, the inability of the emotional impulses to interfere with a proper reflection and understanding for how can we ever come to emotions except through ideas even though dead ones. Feeling in itself is an insufficient guide it may be needed to propel the understanding or the creativity of the person but by itself it is blind, therefore how can it explain? , besides true immediacy would be devoid of personal emotion

Ueberweg, History of Philosophy v 2, p.75
E. Cassirer in a lecture on Spinoza's concept of nature emphasizes the distinction which Spinoza makes between truths of facts and eternal truths of reason and between facts and eternal truths. On the basis of these distinctions he concludes " that metaphysics was, ~~is~~ or ought to be, concerned only with the timeless and eternal truths of the nature of the universe

Hoffding distinguishes four kinds of intuition ~~is~~ in the course of philosophical development. a) immediate perception contrasted attentive activity b) immediate judgement or spontaneous decision, a certitude of conviction c) immediate comprehension of difference or identity between two images, sensations etc., Analytical intuition in contrast to the former practical intuition, and the first, creative intuition, lastly immediate perception of the connection or the totality of things of a series or a group Synthetic Intuition.

On p. 117 he implies that reason stretches from one pole that is bare awareness to the other complete reflective, thus reason loses all meaning from such extended coverage.

The Dialectic of the Negative Way

It appears that the via negativa affords many over-inflated intellectuals an opportunity to reveal their thorough ignorance of true mysticism. Each in his turn condemns and assults the way perhaps because it offers them no opportunity to display their particular brand of interpretation. Never consider for a moment the possibility that such is the nature of finitude. Anyway a proper criticism of this will follow. A few appropriate quotations follow:

"the fatal weakness of the entire mystical movement from Plato and Plotinus down" or God the Divine Reality is reached by a process of negation...he is everything finite things are not...the Indeterminate Absolute". Studies in Mystical Religion p.211 or Caird "it tried to approach the infinite by turning its back on the finite and by not seeking more thoroughly to understand the finite...The supposition that the via negativa of abstraction will lead to the highest truth at all is one of the pernicious errors of philosophy"

As soon as a copy of his writings can be procured or borrowed so that I can get his thought straight from the horses mouth an adequate reply will be formulated. Mercer Nature Mysticism, "What is the concept of the ultimately real which these stricter mystics have evolved and are prepared to defend? It is of pure and unconditioned Being-the One - the Absolute. By a ruthless process of abstraction they have abjured the world of sense to vow allegiance to another mode of being of which nothing can be said without denying it. ...it embraces everything and remains a pure negation ...leave us not alone with the absolute with the Absolute of the orthodox mystic or we perish of inanity."

If Hughes ever revealed his misunderstanding of what it is that is real here it is: Mysticism does the same thing and this is its basic error. It tries to approach the infinite by turning its back on the finite and not by seeking to comprehend the infinite with deeper thought and fuller understanding. Such a procedure is based on the principle that the highest idea must of necessity be that which has least content"

Mono-idealism: Mysticism, intellectually considered is the intense concentration of ~~energy~~ attention to some concept idea or or object so intense that it drains away psychic energy from the will. This is what accounts for the immobility of the body during ecstasy and for the trance like states during their deepest experiences (this shows the utter lack of the via negativa that may easily follow). This also accounts for the silences that creeps over the souls of man in intense emotional experience, especially when connected with the religious. It draws away energy from all other aspects of consciousness...the will thus becomes atrophied through the intense activity and exaltation of the intellectual faculty (sic). The withdrawal of consciousness from the circumference to the center, a deliberate attention to one thing" that is no marginal consciousness is left.

Feeling is the basic fact in all religion being determinative of the tone and character of the religious consciousness, the unifying element of man's self consciousness.

It has to be admitted that any deep emotional experience leads to a stimulation of the sex instinct and also ~~the~~ heightens the activity of all the other instinctive impulses. Yet Ruysbroeck distinguishes clearly between human and divine love, even declaring that all the delights of sensuality were experienced at once this could not give anything comparable to the mystical state...there is no trace of sensuality which is further attested to by St John of the Cross. When Hughes confuses this with the feeling that the ego has escaped from the restrictions which habitually confine it and that it is experiencing liberty at last...startling realization dawns on me...that he is concerned with preserving even immortalizing his ego and confusing this with the mystical state. Or another example If therefore the basic element is feeling and if in religion the whole man is operative...the need for a feeling of discomfort which initiates discrimination within the whole continuum in order to find satisfaction. Thus it evolves within itself the aspects of cognition and cognition...whilst feeling is the most individualistic phases of conscious life it also the element that is most intuitive, and also...that it is the active motive power behind our will...Out of feeling evolves all things, the kind of confusion that will prevail when true intellectuality is presided over by the industrialist in charge of our schools.

The fact of rhythm is due to the inability of the mind to remain statically fixed on any on any subject for a lengthy period, attention is never absolutely fixed, it sways and undulates (sic)

A summary: a) a sense of the divine presence derived from an experience of immediate contact and union with God b) a profound sense of the unification of the various aspects of the self through its union with God yielding a consciousness of freedom etc., c) This union with God and within the self is achieved in the affective and the basic aspect of consciousness making the whole experience emotional. It transcends the conceptual, dispensing with images and ideas finding and sharing in God's life through love

p.350 refers again to Indian pantheism (sic)

The intuitive state which Underhill says is essentially one of "free and filial participation in eternal life" and its chief characteristics are: A complete absorption in the interest of the infinite... a consciousness of sharing in its strength .. and acting by its authority which results in a complete sense of freedom an invulnerable serenity, the establishment of the self as a power of life, a center of energy, an actual parent of spiritual vitality in other men. The final and successful establishment of that higher form of consciousness which has been struggling for supremacy during the whole of the mystic way. Dean Inge points out that Plotinus through his ecstatic experience was led to conclude "that the soul cannot be in bliss unless it has something above itself to worship and aspire to". and was led to conclude that in the moments of ecstasy he had risen even above the spiritual world and had been merged in the immediate presence of the Absolute. The additional comment that Hughes adds superfluously: Many however shrink from this conclusion because they believe that the finite spirit cannot "so transcend the conditions of its own existence as to share even for a moment the life or the consciousness of the Absolute.

Pantheism is basic in Indian religious thought It cannot however live in a system that retains its hold on transcendence"... p.415

The first of these is the fact that the

second is the fact that the

third is the fact that the

fourth is the fact that the

fifth is the fact that the

sixth is the fact that the

seventh is the fact that the

eighth is the fact that the

ninth is the fact that the

tenth is the fact that the

Scholastic Terminology

Absolute... Freed or relatively freed from conditions of matter and hence from contingency. Abstracted from individuating conditions

Act and Potency... To be understood by the proportion of any two things to each other reciprocally. Act being determined Potency being determinable. Act and potency always import a disposition or the order of a change.

Accident... that which does not have being in itself, but from another (ens in alio) accidents are additions which advene to a substance and bring it to a completion

Act of being: Existence ... an act being determined or the determined or a terminated nature

Analysis... A grasp of organizations by a scrutiny of relations and terms, composing simple and complex substances, and completed by an apprehension of the relevant operation or operations involved. a) Platonic analysis; quality is eliminated, leaving quantity and relations, platonist are liable to reify the relations and and to invest them with autonomic energy b) Aristotlian Analysis, includes platonic analysis and in addition to this so-called formal causes the material and the efficient cause not to mention the final cause. Essences must needs be assigned to their existential operations or natures to become actual. Platonic essences are potentialities or possibilities but in the A A, the process in nature supplements the formal nature

Being, a transcendental predicate (ens) that which is, the actual nature of a thing or existence independent of the knowing mind. The principle of being (existence) and the principle of being primarily intelligible must be in some sense commensurate if being and truth are related. Modes of operating and knowing are said to follow upon the mode of being. Being then is the formal object of the intellect (per se pramo)

Body and Soul Union of: a substantial unity and not an accidental unity

Composite; a concretion of matter and form or the advention of a form into a matter apt to receive it

Compostion...Accidental that which unites the accidents to the substance in which they inhere

Creature...a created thing each thing is and exists by its assigned act and proper measure of perfection as such a mode of finite being in the infinite order derived from God

Disposition ..Always imports an order of some thing which has parts. The arrangement of that which has parts as ordered in respect to place to potency or to quality hence relational order in one respect

Ens per se existens a being existing by virtue of itself or its ordained nature, since a being of this sort exist as a whole and in no wise as a part of another being it exist also in se

Essence...Is in relation to the act of existence a potentiality really distinct from existence but actual in virtue of existence. It is that by virtue of which the intellect understands that which is necessarily and primarily the being of the nature or substance. Essence is then that by which is necessarily and primarily as intelligible or that by which ~~xxxxxxx~~ a thing is constituted in a determined ~~xxxxxxx~~ degree of primarily ~~in~~ intelligible being. By strict distinction an essence is a being of reason as distinguished from a being of nature and hence an essence is a formal cause of knowing and is that in the active intellect by which a nature is known when it is known. In a realist or quasi-realist doctrine essence must express the real nature of being, consequently it cannot be an arbitrarily imposed sign.

Habit...Disposition to act in a fixed or ordained mode or a fixed and determinate operation. An aptitude is a disposition towards an order of operation which has not become fixed and it is therefore easily alterable.

Hierarchy...Aristotlean, a continuous order of differentiated beings having a beginning a middle and an end. Those properties which remained unchanged in a scheme of substances when the substances undergo ~~spazitions~~ alterations. Further the relation of one grade of being or substances to other

Intelligences ... Human last in the order of intelligences and first in the order of material forms.

Intention ... first intention the assimilated sensible in the κ sense hence a potential sign or the potential sign as actual in as much as it signifies an individuated thing Second intention Essence or the formal equivalent by virtue of which that which is known is known in its necessary and primary being.

Materia Signata the lowest grade of matter endowed with merely an intrinsic aptitude for occupying certain relative dimensions of space. The basis of numerical multiplicity

Nature ... The operation which anything is adapted or disposed to perform. Anything whatsoever possesses a constitutive principle by virtue of which it is that which it is and performs that which it performs its proper perfection or the aspect of its being which differentiates it. Nature is thought of as the opposite of κ essence in both the order of abstraction and signation. Things must be apt to unite and possess unity in potency before they possess it in act

Objects ... Must be apt to unite and possess unity in potency before they possess it in act In the natural order to be understood as natures known by means of essences

Operation Order of; Action to passion ...

Potency Active a power to operate, passive a capacity to receive A power to be understood as that with which as a disposition or order to action

Privation ... a negation in the subject. The absence of the formal principle required by the state in which the matter actually is

A privation: substance : a negation : subject

Quality A disposition of capacity of or passive potencies for the sake of an act hence the order of operations according to which such a disposition of passive potencies become actual

Quantity The passive diffusion of matter in a three dimensional medium and the first accident of material substance

Quiddity...an expression used as a neutral term between essence and nature usually understood however as equivalent to essence It stands for the peculiar nature of the things not however as the thing is as individuated by conditions of matter but as it is necessarily and primarily according to its mode of being

Relation. a general sign for possible modification between a and b or the respect in which one thing stands to another thing as of anything to something Ad aliquid..the order of one thing to another

Signs order of Genus difference and property are all predicated necessarily the distinctions among them rest not in the way in which they are asserted of things but on the mode on which they signify things signified by their subjects Hence such ~~xxx~~ signs are terms in the purely rational science of logic

Substance Secondary an analytical analogue (similitudine of being., a nature) as expressed by genus species, property and difference in the rational order. Aristotle observes that the primary substance (a nature) is to secondary substance (the formulae in the intellect) as species is to genus

Truth a transcendental predicate conformity of essence to nature the adequation of the things to the intellect and vice-versa

Unity Substantial X Unum per se That which is one by virtue of its proper or intrinsic operation It is opposed to unum per accidens a substantial unity is one by virtue of the operation by which it exist necessarily An accidental unity is one merely by conjunction as the part of an aggregate Per se brought into existence by a proper act, but indicating a measure of dependence from God

Freedom through Knowledge ..G.R.Malkani
(from Contemporary Indian Philosophy)

All our actual knowledge is confined to science which seeks to contact reality through known or recognized forms of knowledge and in a truly rational way. There is no other way open to reason, unless we confuse certain purely subjective structures of thought or conceptual schemes with rational knowledge. To speculate or build upon these structures which would seem to explain certain facts of experience or to interpret them is not to know. Such speculation is free in character and it has no finality. Real knowledge ought to be a species of direct awareness. There is no such direct awareness to which the cogitations of the philosopher may be said to lead us. Philosophical knowledge is not knowledge at all. It is a verbal knowledge at the best, relating to the use of certain words.

On the other hand we contend that real philosophic knowledge is possible ... in fact it is the only knowledge worth the name. Knowledge at the common sense level or at the level of science which is only an extension is only an appearance of knowledge. It is through and through mediated and can be erroneous. It is always dubitable.

Reason of itself is not a form of intuition. There can be no direct awareness of reality on the part of reason. Reason only mediates. It interposes the idea between reality and the knowledge of reality. Concepts and categories only interfere with the reality. They give us a purely subjective picture of it, manufactured in the mind. Thought must undo this mischief. Truth is essentially objective. The subject should make no contribution to it in knowing it. This is only possible when truth is self-revealing and self-evident to thought. The basic presupposition of all philosophical reflection upon knowledge is truth which is indubitable and absolutely certain. Taking all that into account that science has to say by way of explanation (the stick in the water that appears bent) the fact that it still remains that objective reality is not as we perceive it to be. What is not really there is perceived by us to be there and what does not exist is perceived by us to exist. Science cannot give any explanation for this error. If science could show that what we call illusory appearance is really there and that we perceive it as it is there that would indeed be an explanation. What it shows is that our perceptions condition the appearance which is therefore an appearance to us only and not a thing in itself...

which is the goal of knowledge. We have no unconditioned perception which contacts reality directly and knows it as it really is without judging. All perception is mediated by factors which make their vary-

contributions in the final product which we call the percept. There is no incorruptible set of conditions that do not enter as factors in the perceived thing and maintain completely their outsideness and neutrality with regard to it.

What alone explains an illusory appearance is the error of perception. This error we can never explain

Once we admit the subjectivity of our present knowledge the distinction of the appearance and reality becomes inevitable. Appearance is something to us only. Reality is and ought to be, in itself. The whole problem of knowledge takes the form can we know something that really is and not merely something to us. Can we know without the subjectivity of knowledge. There is no such thing as a real appearance, which is a contradiction in terms. Every appearance is just an appearance & nothing more. It appears but never is. Its stuff is the stuff of know~~ness~~-ness not the stuff of being. If we take away knowledge, knowness collapses, but not being. An appearance however must be the appearance of something. Reality alone can appear as we say. Accordingly appearance implies that something lies behind the appearance and supports it but which itself does not appear. It implies reality, reality on the other hand does not imply appearance. Its condition is truly unrelated and unconditioned. ~~The appearance of the~~

The appearance of the existence is radically different... it is pseudo-existence only. The relation to reality is fundamental to it and constitutive of it. It is the relation of false identity... thus while reality in itself is unrelated, a relation has been introduced from the outside in order to make the appearance possible. The relation of false identity is only a product of the subjective error of false identification. It is the subject that brings about a relation which could not otherwise subsist.

The world is essentially related to the subject, it is something to us only... it is true only insofar as it is a recreation of our thought and sensibility. It could appear differently under a different set of subjective conditions. There is nothing in the objects of our knowledge that we could unreservedly fix as being true in itself... if that is so there must be a non-phenomenal

reality beyond it, which does not appear. Only it
it cannot be a transcendent object, such as Kant
conceived ...which is a contradiction in terms.
instead replace it by a transcendent reality that
cannot be known in the objective attitude, but a
can and ought to be known as self evidently true.

The only explanation of (error) an illu
sion is the error of perception. Error psycholo
gically may be undeniable. But psychology like
all other sciences which studies facts must sub
mit to logic and in logic error is a fact that is
no fact. Error becomes an error only if and when
it is dissolved ...we can only locate it in the
past ...and when contemplated only as negated. In
other words to know error is to be free from
error but a cancelled error is no error. Furthermore

...which does not appear. Only if
it cannot be a transformation object, such as a
connected ... which is a contraction in error.
Instead, we have a transformation which
cannot be known in the objective state, but
can and must be known as a subjective
The only explanation of error is
error is an error of perception. Error
itself may be subjective. But
all other objects which exist
are to be found in error is a fact
of perception. Error is an error
is in itself ... we can only
... and when computed only as
... we can only locate it in
error but a cancelled error is an error.

Knowledge of God, the realization of one's union with God, in a word mysticism, is necessary. It is not simply the flower of religion; it is the very seed, lying in the flower as its fulfillment and preceding the roots as its ~~origin~~ origin. There is no higher religion without mysticism, because there is no apprehension of the meaning of reality without it. It is the sine qua non—the must—the first and great commandment.

Christian historians do not in general like this theory (cyclic notion) for two principal reasons. The first is that they have a myopic tendency to identify Christian history with the history of the Western culture and the second is that they feel it to be a naturalistic philosophy of history obscuring the divine design behind human events.

The general characteristics of the four stages of cultural cycle are as follows;

(1) Thesis — The childhood of a culture normally has its roots in an older culture

(2) the adolescent period, a growth of individual self awareness

(3) Crisis The culture ~~exploits~~ exploits its newly found powers on the physical plane but materialism and imperialism sets in

(4) Synthesis — physical expansion and maturity reach the point of frustration, the culture returns to its traditional roots but in a transformed understanding and the evolution of a new thesis for a new culture. Termed by Spengler the second religiousness

The higher end of the problem, the inner meaning of the dogma of the holy trinity, the significance of the incarnation as the birth of the Christ in the soul, was tackled by Eckhardt, Ruysbroeck and Nicholas of Cusa, but the crux the relation of spirit to matter was barely scratched. And yet the key to the problem lay right to hand in the daily ritual of the Mass, in that most intimate union of the Godhead with the common material forms and in the entire sacramental and incarnational character of mythos & Church. He could not see that the supreme truth behind the mass, the incarnation and the sacraments was that union with God was given to the creation and the flesh here and now and did not have to be attained by human efforts.

London 1947 N.Y.

Knowledge of God, the realization of one's union with God, is a word mystic, is necessary. It is not simply the flower of religion; it is the very seed, lying in the flower as the fertilized egg and awaiting the roots as it's a mystic religion. There is no higher religion without mysticism, because there is no expansion of the meaning of reality without it. It is the side that the mystic sees - the first and great

Christian historians do not in general like this theory (cyclic history) for two principal reasons. The first is that they have a cyclic history of so identify Christian history with the history of the western world and the second is that they feel it to be a naturalistic anthropological history of the human mind being these events.

The cyclic history of the four stages of cultural cycle are as follows: (1) The childhood of a culture normally has its roots in an older culture (2) The adolescent period, a growth of individual self awareness

(3) Crisis and culture transformation. It's a new world opens on the physical plane but spiritual and intellectual are in (4) Synthesis. Physical expansion and maturity reach the point of frustration, the culture returns to its traditional roots but in a transformed understanding and the evolution of a new thesis for a new culture termed by Spengler the second religiousness.

The higher end of the spectrum, the inner meaning of the work of the Holy Spirit, the spirit-ness of the incarnation as the birth of the Christ in the soul, was treated by Bernard, Kierkegaard and Nicholas of Cusa, but the only real relation of spirit to matter was barely touched. And yet the key to the problem lay in the daily ritual of the Mass, in that most intimate union of the Godhead with the common material form and in the entire sacramental and institutional character of which a church could not see that the sacrament itself be- came the mass, the incarnation and the sacrament. The fact that God was given to the creation and the flesh here and now and did not have to be attained by human efforts.

There is already a tension mentioned between holiness and physical existence, which is expressed in the conflict between mediaeval Catholic ascetic-mysticism and Humanism or between Protestant puritanism and liberal romanticism.

If you argue that you have no freedom, you imply that you are compelled to argue in this way and that your opinion is not a free and rational decision but a compulsive mechanism and consequently of no significance.

For agape is God, agape in man is God in man and once God is refused it is impossible to love God, since God can only be loved with his own power, and his own love dwelling in the human soul. All the problems, all the evil of human life rise from this refusal to love God, and we can no more say when it happened than why it happened. We can only ~~say~~ know that we are now in a state of evil, that our freedom to love God is inhibited and is completely under the power of eros. We cannot say when man chose not to love God because when we ask this question, we are looking for a sufficient and necessary cause for the refusal. But the refusal was a free act, and in the realm of freedom there are no necessary causes because there are no necessary causes, there is no necessity, no determinism. It is a free spontaneous and original action. It is analogous to God's own creative action. He must use God to refuse

God. Also the function of Christian morality is to express appreciation for this divine gift of union

For the infinite hunger of eros eventually finds the body an intolerable limitation then eros-mysticism ensues which is world despising and has no place in an Incarnational and sacramental religion

If mysticism is the consciousness of union with God this is in no way inconsistent with the physical life if it is true that God has given himself to our flesh.

These two essential principles of the Incarnation - the localization of the universal and the union of God with matter - are continued as a witness to and extension of the Incarnation in the sacramental system of the Church ... the mystery of the Mass

There is already a bond mentioned between holiness
and physical existence, which is expressed in the
relation between medieval Catholic mysticism and
law and humanism or between Protestant mysticism
and liberal romanticism.
If you want that you have no freedom, you
must first be convinced to have in this way
and that your opinion is not a true and rational
decision but a conservative mechanism and consequence
of an intelligence.

For what is our hope in God is not in
and once God is believed it is impossible to
love God, since God can only be loved with his own
power and his own law dwelling in the human soul.
All the problems, all the evil of human life rise to
the surface to love God, but we can only
when it happens that why it happens. We can only
see how that we are now in the state of evil, that
our freedom to love God is inhibited and is confined
by our own power of good. We cannot say when and
where not to love God because when we see this quest
for, we are looking for a solution and necessary
to the human condition. But the human condition is a
condition in the realm of freedom, there are no other
any other cause there are no necessary causes.
There is no necessarily no explanation. It is a
spontaneous and original action. It is analogous
to the own creative action. We must see God as a
God. Also the function of Christ's morality is to
express a reaction for his divine gift of grace.
For the human nature of this eventuality
if there the body an intellectual limitation then
each-mystical causes which is world contains and
has no place in an intellectual and sacramental
religion.

If mysticism is the consciousness of union
with God this is in no way inconsistent with the
mystical life if it is true that God has given his
self to our lives.

These two essential principles of the
inspiration the localization of the universal and
the union of God with matter - are contained as a
witness to and extension of the inspiration in the
sacramental system of the Church... The mystery of
the Mass

The fear of pantheism that is abolishing the ontological distinction between the creator & the creature and the other fear of presumption of laying claim to salvation apart from holiness of life has prevent a full exploitation of the incarnational mysticism. Of the first we need only point out there is a difference between a given union and a necessary union, and for the second point out that this fear is in fact a false humility

The dogma of the incarnation insist that in Christ God became man, not a man. That is to say in Christ there are two natures, but only one person. The person is Divine - God the Son - but it is in hypostatic union with a complete human nature, though not with a human person. Thus the humanity of Christ is representative of all men humanity, and by this means the gift of the incarnation are bestowed upon the whole race and not upon the historic Jesus alone

God transcends creatures in the sense that nothing has the power to exclude him, to set any boundaries and further no creature has the power to be what he is not, to other 'Himself'. For if the unity of God is truly all inclusive and non-dual it must include diversity and distinction as well as oneness; otherwise the principle of diversity would stand out as against him... in other words we are implying that God cannot be free to be other than he is if we say that he is but one God. In other words we fail to see that God could include and be many as well as one. Thus God's "othering" of himself in the creation is not, as in pantheism a maya or illusion. The multiplicity is just as real as the unity, since the creature is one with God in the very act of being other than God. Every form of pantheism God's manifestation of himself in the universe is necessary, because the universe is simply identical with God, must be as eternal as God. But to say that God is non-dual is another way of saying that he is absolutely free.

God's creation of a being is one and the same act as is love for it. In truth he becomes one who is in truth other than himself. Thus a creature fulfills God's love and will for itself, not by being or trying to be God, but by being itself

The fear of pantheism that is abolished. The ontological distinction between the creature and the creature and the other fear of annihilation of laying claim to salvation seems from holiness of life has revealed a full realization of the incarnational mysticism. Of the first we need only point out there is a difference between a given union and a necessary union, and for the point out that this fear is in fact a false humility.

The danger of the incarnation itself that in Christ God became man, not a man. That is to say in Christ there are two natures, but only one person. The person is divine - God the Son - but it is in a dynamic union with a creature human nature, God is not with a human person. Thus the humanity of Christ is representative of all men humanity, and by this means the aim of the incarnation and its showed upon the whole race and not upon the history is clear.

Two statements are made in the same text regarding the power to exclude him, to set any boundaries and further no creature has the power to be what he is not, to come. This is the unity of God is truly all inclusive and non-dual. It must include diversity and distinction as well as oneness; otherwise the epistemic of diversity would stand out as revealed him. In other words we are saying that God cannot be true to be other than he is if we say that he is but one God. In other words we fail to see that God would include and be many as well as one. Thus God's "otherness" of himself in the creation is not as in pantheism a unity or identity. The multiplicity is just as real as the unity, since the creature is one with God in the very act of being other than God. Every form of pantheism God's manifestation of himself in the universe is necessary, because the universe is simply identical with God, and he is eternal as God. But to say that God is not - that is another way of saying that he is something else. God's creation of a being is one and the same as God as he loves for it. In truth he becomes one who is in truth other than himself. Thus a creature which God's love set will for itself, not by being or trying to be God, but by being itself.

True pantheism holds to a necessary identity of God and the universe whereas all doctrines holding the infinity of God do and must maintain that the very existence of the universe is absolutely unnecessary (which is probably the real meaning of the Hindu concept of Maya). Since by definition the infinite cannot be held under any constraint.

Not only in art but also in religion and morals his standards of judgement are aesthetic rather than intellectual. And if we keep in mind that Beauty is the most spiritual because it is the least serious and the least necessary; it is thoroughly gratuitous and entirely characteristic of God's loving freedom. The Chinese becomes a philosopher of feeling.

Because God is love, to love another soul is to give him God. Just as punishment or the fear of it has no creative results by itself.

Properly carried out the liturgy is an act of symbolic contemplation, for its purpose is not to edify people nor to unite them in the mere presence in one place and performance of one action. Its purpose is to concentrate thought and action upon God, so that the group realizes God unity through cooperative self-forgetfulness in God. It is essentially theocentric.

P 235-6, a form of criticism is made which can ~~xxxxx~~ and does fail to realize that his familiarity with the concepts of incarnational mysticism, and the distinction or rather failure to realize it between method and end. In other words for some people it is not enough to be told that God loves them, they do not live up there.

the reference

AN inversion, the metaphysical meaning is translated into relative terms or categories of understanding and then a certain rationalization or justification of hell is to be accepted. "Thus it is conceivable that if a soul were to will its own damnation, to affirm it utterly as the adorable justice of God, which is simply an aspect of his love, the inner character of hell would be transformed into the vision of God. This would render the suffering of hell an act of praise, so that hell itself would join in the chorus of the holy saints and angels. Eternal suffering would therefore be apprehended as identical in essence with eternal joy.

True perfection holds to a necessary identity of
God and the universe whereas all religions hold
for the infinity of God and that which is
the very existence of the universe is necessarily
unconnected (which is probably the real meaning
of the Hindu concept of Maya). Since by definition
the infinite cannot be held under any limitation.

Not only in art but also in religion
and morals his standards of judgment are really
the same. When intellectual and in art in
kind that beauty is the most rational because it
is the least arbitrary and the least necessary; it
is logically and aesthetically entirely unconnected
to God's loving freedom. The universe becomes
a philosophy of feeling.

Because God is love, he loves another
and he gives his love. Just as enjoyment of the
love of it has no creative results by itself.

Possibly carried out the history is an
act of spiritual contemplation for the purpose of
not to edit people nor to unite them in the
same perspective and thus an enlargement of one
self. Its purpose is to connect them through and
action upon God so that the great reality God
bring through complete self-fulfillment in God.
It is essentially the opposite.

The form of religious is made
which can exist and does not exist that
his identity with the concept of incarnation
exists, and the distinction or rather failure to
realize it between world and God. In other words
for some people it is not enough to say that
God loves them, they do not live up to it.

In incarnation, the eschatological
meaning is translated into relative forms of
categories of understanding and then a certain
realization of justification of self is to
be achieved. Thus it is conceivable that if a
god were to will its own incarnation, he either
is utterly as the cosmic justice of God which
is simply an aspect of his love, the inner part
which of hell would be transformed into the vision
of God. This would render the suffering of hell
an act of grace, so that hell itself would join
in the chorus of the holy saints and angels.
The real suffering would therefore be experienced
as identical in essence with eternal joy.

The person usually assumes towards his own internal mechanisms an animistic attitude as a savage towards his external objects. He credits them with an autonomous life whereas they are only passing forms of his own autonomous life; his fictitious divinity requires him to be a free temporal being. And thus a life of lies is perpetuated. In appetitive love man accomplishes the divine creative act while also creating himself. In benevolent love he accomplishes his act by participating in the creation of someone else. He is acting like God. In adoration he identifies himself with the divine in the modality of this divinity. The great symbolical triad is portrayed; the A principle in the sense of being is one in the sense of it's creating is two plus I

Although we may have a general understanding of the psychological laws which all alike are subjected to nonetheless this should never be confused with the intuition of the absolute subject. Because man sees his own tendencies in a deceptively absolute light that he endows them with a delusively absolute reality and submits to their tyranny.

The sex act is the point which polarizes β from a distance the differentiation of your pure libido into sexual libido—the image necessary or not is only a releasing cause the real & sufficient cause of the libido resides in the source higher than the libido, in the principle that completely transcends your manifestation. The energy comes from on high and is only released and polarized from below. This also indicates the Freudian error in his concept of the "sublimation". One can hardly see how an image which is the effect of the vital sex energies to be also the efficient cause of sex desire. This force works for Freud from below upward consequently the higher erotic states being impossible

When you meet people that simply must listen to music, it is because they make secondary use of ~~it~~ their enjoyment of it; to reassure themselves, to tranquillize egotistic feelings of inferiority, guilt and so on. But apart from this which is a secondary and contingent phenomena. I have no desire to perceive the divine in the music I may have a desire for the pleasure it may give me on the plane of sensation but not the feeling β of the divine.

At the moment when the ego is crystalized, that is when perceptions of the divine first become possible certain impressions associated with the harmonious functioning operation of his functions and felt as peculiarly affirmative of the subject becomes indelibly imprinted in him, this ~~xx~~ does not mean that he will consciously retain in his memory the event but this imprint will become the center of a constellation of conscious associations and throughout his subsequent life all the corresponding objects in this world will move him to his deaths

If I have loved with an erotic love a woman with whom I never went to bed, my desire for this woman will persist everlastingly in me because the projection of my divine image upon her also persists, and no real sexual act has separated the two personages within me. In a complex erotic love where sexuality has played an effective part, detachment may ensue from exhaustion of the sexuality personality, but something remains which belongs to the persisting projection. In erotic love when adoration and sexuality are equally strong the perception of the divine colors the whole plane of desire with a gloriously exquisite light, it forces desire in itself transitory to participate in its eternity, the sexual act neither satisfies or detaches it.

The femine component of the human being loves nature the plane of senses, and the virile component loves the divine on the plane of images. When I love erotically it is as a man I adore and as a woman that I desire and the antagonism within me between adoration and sexuality is the opposition between the poles, virile and femine that these components represent.

No human deed is done unless the doer finds some affirmation of himself, a completely disinterested action would be meaningless because it would present itself as an effect without a cause. That man often deceives himself in this respect & is so prone to believe in his "disinterestedness" is due to his weakness for seeing himself like God A first cause. And if we thus so easily manage to delude ourselves, it is because the interest we have in some of our actions can be of a very subtle nature and is liable to pass on unperceived. I will ingly concede that we never nourish ourselves without at the same time deriving some ourselves in one way or another. But observe that it is possible ~~xxxx~~ to nourish ourselves and others more or less at the expense of others and even to their destruction and the appetite for such attachment

is often called love. So true is this that everybody considers this attachment as an undeniable sign of love.

In adoration she is everything to him in his interior world which she is representative of the unseen source of an infinitely lively vision of the world but she is nothing to him in the external objective world. That is the lover though he usually believes that he loves the woman, in reality he is loving the subjective state that the image of the woman enables him to experience. Remember the profound phrase of St. Augustine "I loved loving not I loved women!"

(Of course this does away with the ontological basis of being loved, since we have reduced everything to projection, whatever truth incarnational mysticism may contain is denied.) (Also the ontic value of the woman loved is nil, seeing her through the eyes of God is not seriously accounted or considered)

What I feel when I have projected this thing outside of myself and am then refused the enjoyment of it is positive suffering. For it is necessary that we hold some commerce with that which we project. The ordinary man has a ~~at~~ profound intuition of his divine essence, but he does not perceive it as such and this results in his having two kinds of self-consciousness. A clear consciousness of being a multiplicity of selves or personages and the dim consciousness of being a unified self, a unique personality. The latter is not or really the divine nature in this man as yet untransformed, but is so to speak the prefiguration or image of it. It is illusory in the sense that it resembles without effectively being the divinity it is like a form without substance as a portrait is in relation to the person it supposed to depict

Narcissus adoration of the divine image as projected upon the temporal self and consequent misidentification

That this bearer of the divine image can only be a certain type of woman rather than another when the ego crystallizes "this image arises from impressions fortuitously from the outer world in earlier times"...is nonsense only one step removed from fortuitously moving atoms of Haeckel

The man who at any moment is capable of the divine projection has a certain tendency that may nothing compulsive or imperious about it... nor does it correspond to any organic function nor painful tension. It is a sudden but not a violent phenomenon it does not always coincide with the birth

of adoration for the divine image is in its very nature a totality and cannot in its nature be partially revealed. The lover's interiority is gradually conscious. Projection of the image sometimes means great emotional shock and risk to the one who makes it. Because of attachment.

We are always seeking affirmation of ourselves that is seeking realization

The massive concentration of the lover's emotional forces upon the object of adoration detaches him more or less from every other object in the whole world, it is the ~~freedom~~ feeling of living an intenser life that is also one of freedom and detachment from the rest of the world from all except the beloved. Upon the lover's imaginative power and high capacity for abstraction ... living upon the plane of images this image has more reality than the other plane thus the lover prostrates himself before an image which is his own divine image the best of himself...

The imagination referred to is creative and in tension towards a particular goal

Prejudice against pleasure, man feels humiliated by pleasures because in the enjoyment of it because in the enjoyment of it he is entirely passive and man has a prejudice in favor of activity.

Observe closely the close connection that exist in a strongly erotic man, between his perception of the divine in beauty that is not sexual at all and his erotic desires when such a man is enjoying even nature the image of a woman arises within an feels an erotic excitation. He is aware of the ecstasy he derives from music is of the same origin as what comes to him from the adored woman .

Aesthetic subjectivity - plane of emotions and images
Objectivity on the plane of sensations

Enjoyment on the plane of images is always working towards extinction it spends itself in the work of concrete utilization it is consummated in the concrete

Our longing is without remedy

The strict concept of eternity or timelessness rather than everlastingness, its negation, Philosophy like science is a social function, for a man cannot rightly think alone. Actual experience of the ultimate reality which is the ground and the cause of the universe and the principle and meaning of Human life-anchors us to sanity. Failure of some conscious rapport has brought about a dangerous disintegration in our life. Modernity implies a unity of disunity and a superficial coherence from the spread of technology-cancelled by a certain way of thought that helps further disintegration. Mere morality a unifying principle or biological conformity the most good for the most number. Absolute relativism leads to a disintegration of civilization besides being a contradiction. Reason generalize from particulars an individual faculty and has no point of contact with the universal. The intellect is not individual, in metaphysics there is a givenness.

Comparison;	This life	eternal life
	feeling	
	reason	intellect
	sensation	
	individual	universal

In scholasticism the supernatural follows through to mean metaphysics. Intellectual knowledge an inclusive and not exclusive state of consciousness. does not obliterate just like formless light reveals form and not deny it. Mystical ecstasy analogously to metaphysical knowledge but in terms of feeling and in no way necessary to metaphysical realization., trance is an individual mystical state and primarily a state of feeling that excludes other states of feeling

How can I attain metaphysical knowledge
 If the I in question is the ego it cannot be done.
 The remoteness, the absolute otherness of God is the presupposition of the ego consciousness point of view. The ego humbles itself in order to be exalted and by the very motive annihilates its humility.
 Just as the very longing for eternal life prevents our awareness of it...the desire for security. For the moment life is fixed, it is dead. We must learn to be vividly aware of insecurity and suffering of each moment of experience instead of trying to run away from it or conform to any fixed pattern.... choiceless awareness. There is no action whereby the ego can by itself produce or attain realization.

The strict concept of eternity of timelessness
 rather than everlastingness, its negation being
 empty time which is a special function for a can
 cannot really think alone. Actual experience of the
 universe really which is the ground and the sense
 of the universe and the principle and meaning of
 human life - anchors us to reality. Failure of some
 conscious regard has brought about a dangerous
 disorientation in our life. Moreover, reality is a unit
 of identity and a supernatural coherence from the ex
 pressed of technology - conceived by a certain way of
 thought that has further disorientation. With mor
 ally a unifying principle or biological necessity
 the most goal for the most number. Because reality
 has been to a disintegration of civilization be
 cause being a contradiction. From disintegration from
 particular an individual reality and has no point
 of contact with the universal. The intellect is not
 individual in metaphysics there is a givenness.

eternal life	eternal life	Comprehension
intellect	feeling	
universal	reason	
	realization	
	individual	

In metaphysics the supernatural follows
 through to mean metaphysics. Intellectual knowledge
 an inclusive and not exclusive state of conscious
 man does not differentiate just like former light
 reveals form and not deny it. Mystical ecstasy an
 always to metaphysical knowledge but in terms of
 feeling and in no way necessary to metaphysical
 realization. Hence in an individual mystical state
 and primarily a state of feeling that expresses other
 states of feeling

How can I attain metaphysical knowledge
 If the I question is the ego it cannot be done.
 the reason, the absolute otherness of God in the
 presupposition of the ego consciousness point of
 view the ego makes itself in order to be realized
 and by the very active annihilates its unity.
 just as a very longing for eternal life however
 our awareness of it... the desire for security. For
 the present life is fixed, it is dead. We must learn
 to be vividly aware of insecurity and suffering of
 each moment of experience instead of living to the
 away from it or conform to any fixed pattern....
 choiceless awareness. There is no action whereby the
 ego can by itself produce or attain realization.

It is the dissolution of avidya or unconsciousness .

The catholic doctrine as always maintained that true prayer is not simply man relating himself to God but rather something which God is performing in and through man.

Extreme devotion or attention has the effect of emptying the field of consciousness apart from the point under concentration. So that awareness of the ego simply disappears. This is an act of profound relaxation. To retain one's normal and every day consciousness and at the same time to let go of it or the important thing is to watch the flow-without being carried away by it. No attempt to force the mind to be calm or to exclude its natural reactions to circumstances. These inner reactions are permitted and watch in the same way as ~~xxxx~~ events in the outer world for by this means the Self is distinguished from the ego complex.

Nature of the self (Infinite) is not to separate its self from the finite consciously instead of unconsciously. It becomes conscious when you as the Self find yourself able to will or accept your total experience your state of mind and being as it is at any time. In this a clear perception of the limitations of the ego will awaken you to the Self, to the fact that it is the Self which perceives the limitations. In the strict present the ego does not exist because the present is a sizeless infinitesimal. At that point there is no room in it for the ego. It is the ~~xxxx~~ straight and narrow gate which leadeth up to light. The difference between Brahma and atma is the difference between the Infinite and the infinitesimal. Never even for an instant does time thinking of the ego actually interfere with the eternal and momentary consciousness of the Self.

Invariably an external action which from the moral standpoint is sin is the result of not accepting some prior state of the soul, whether of ~~xxx~~ insecurity, boredom etc., the external sin is an attempt to escape from an internal limitation and if the internal limitations are thoroughly accepted the external escape will not be required, therefore to accept ~~the~~ the prior internal states of the soul.

When the Self is thus distinguished from the ego no further exercise is needed to make it aware of its principal identity with the infinite, this simply becomes self evident.

The fact that an ego has ego can attempt to accept or to know himself is the certain sign that he is more than ego and is beginning to realize it. To project God into the realm of objects is ultimately to destroy every other object. To seek God as an object of knowledge is to deny the transcendence of the infinite. The process of realization is the same as the process of creation and manifestation.

It is a realization of a life or unconditioned
The catholic doctrine is always maintained that
prayer is not simply an external ritual to be
performed mechanically but is something which is
done through
Extreme devotion or attention has the effect of
drawing the field of consciousness apart from the point
of contact with the external world of the ego and
disappears. This is an act of profound relaxation
and every day consciousness and as
the name is to be of the important thing
is to enter the flow without being carried away by it.
An attempt to force the mind to be calm or to exclude
it's natural reactions to circumstances leads to
reactions are postponed and watch in the same way as
events in the outer world for by this means the ego is
dissociated from the ego complex
Because of the self (I-idea) is not to separate
well from the finite consciousness of unconditionally
it passes conditions when you as the self find yourself
able to will or accept your total experience your state
of mind and being as it is at any time. In this a clear
recognition of the limitations of the ego will
to the self, so the fact that it is the self which per-
ceives the limitations in the strict present the ego does
not exist because the present is a timeless intuition
which there is no room in for the ego. It is the
self and narrow range which leads up to light.
The difference between forms and atoms in the difference
between the infinite and the infinitesimal. Never even for
an instant does the thinking of the ego actually inter-
fere with the eternal and momentary consciousness of the
self.
Invariably an external action which fits the power
structure is in the result of not accepting some
prior state of the body, whether of the intellect, or the
ego. The external act is an attempt to escape from an
internal limitation and if the internal limitation is
sufficiently accepted the external escape will not be re-
quired, therefore to accept the prior internal state
of the self.
When the self is thus dissociated from the
ego no further exercise is needed to make it aware of
its spiritual identity with the infinite, this simply
becomes self evident.
The fact that an ego can attempt to
escape or to know himself as the certain sign that he is
not then aware is beginning to realize it is to project
itself into the realm of objects, it is itself to be-
lieve every other object to feel not as an object of
knowledge is to deny the transcendence of the infinite
The process of realization is the same as the process
of creation and manifestation

Willingness to be insecurity is the ultimate security. Willingness to suffer is the essence of divine joy, willingness to be finite is to know one's own infinity. Difference between the subjective character of Oriental mysticism and metaphysics from the extremely introverted ego-centricity and this applies well to Maritain's criticism which betrays a familiarity only with the monoidistic type of yoga which incidentally could be levelled at all preparatory forms of mysticism. How can a philosophy which is so heavily committed to the principle that nihil est in intellectu quod non prius fuerit in sensu? To say that the infinite and the finite are not two is not to say that they are simply and numerically one. To reduce the Self to a phenomenon of organic chemistry is to reduce the reduction likewise. It is a verbal confusion to suggest that impressions, sensations and experiences are themselves conscious. There can be no rational reconstruction of that which transcends and illumines reason... consciousness.

The threefold classification of man, the body as a physical object or expression of the soul, the soul as man's known or knowable physical life, affections, ideas, feelings, sensations, and the intellect as the knowing subject or spirit. The fact that all things are within consciousness implies neither solipsism nor the unreality of objects. So far as the relative reality of individuals and objects is concerned it matters not whether we called them events within a space-time continuum or events within a field of consciousness and therefore containing an indefinite number of points of view, space and time themselves will be functions of the field. Transposing then our concept of consciousness as an infinite field containing innumerable modes from the electro-magnetic into metaphysical language we see that the field is Brahma, the infinite reality, and the field in the act of containing modes or points of view is the Self or Atma. Each mode taken by the non-dual and individual Self is the intellect or Buddhi; it resembles a ray from the central Sun of the Self. Within each ray or point of view the Self protects the various objects of the finite experience and in doing so identifies itself with them or at least with those more proximate objects, the contents of the soul which constitutes the ego or the jivatma.

When psycho-analysis is speaking of consciousness, it is not speaking of the very power of consciousness. The unconsciousness of the psycho-analyst is that with which the Conscious Self is so closely identified with that it is not yet an object of consciousness but that area of psychic life with which the self is no longer identified.

willness to be measured in the distance of
reality. Willness to suffer is the essence of
divine joy. Willness to be finite is to know one's
own infinity. Difference between the subjective char-
acter of physical systems and metaphysics from the
extremely inverted ego-consciousness and this quality
will be the main criticism which brings a familiar
only with the monistic type of ego which includes
could be revealed at all preparatory forms of mysticism
How can a philosophy which is so heavily committed to
the principle that mind is in factness and non-
finite is in essence to say that the infinite and
the finite are not two but one. For since the will is a
single and numerically one. For since the re-
sponses of organic chemistry is to reduce the re-
sponses likewise it is a verbal conclusion to suggest
that impressions, sensations and experiences are char-
acter conscious. There can be no rational reconstruc-
tion of that which transcends and illumines reason.
consciousness.

The third classification of man, the
body as a physical object or expression of the soul
the soul as such known or known physical life,
affection, mass, feeling, sensation, and the intelle-
tual as the knowing subject or spirit. The last part
all things are within consciousness. Impulses rather
belonging not the necessity of objects. For as
the relative reality of individuals and objects is
concerned it matters not whether we called that events
within a space-time continuum or events within a
field of consciousness and therefore containing an
infinite number of points of view, space and time
themselves will be functions of the field. Transposing
then our concept of consciousness as an infinite field
containing innumerable nodes from the electro-mag-
netic into metaphysical language we see that the field
is infinite, the infinite reality, and the field in the
act of containing nodes or points of view in the field
of space. Each node taken by the non-qual and individual
self is the intellect or individual resembles a ray from
the central sun of the field. Each ray or point
of view the self projects the various objects of the
finite experienced in doing so identifies itself
with them or at least with those more proximate objects
the contents of the soul which constitutes the ego or
the living.

When psycho-analysis is speaking of con-
sciousness, it is not speaking of the very power of
consciousness. The consciousness of the psycho-anal-
yst is that with which the conscious self is so
clearly identified with that it is not yet an object
of consciousness. That rise of psychic life with
which the self is no longer identified.

The analytical unconscious consist of

- (1) The unrealized Self which is highly conscious but not of itself as an object
- (2) area of psychic life with which the Self, as the Buddhi as identified itself so closely that it does not recognize it or know it objectively. This latter consists of various aspects of the soul which when unconscious are not experienced in their true form. But are projected outwardly in symbolie forms

The proper meaning of salvation is to make the soul whole-to rescue it all the essential parts from the unconscious

Religion confuses soul with Self and uses self denial instead of soul denial, of course the ego can no more deny itself than one can jump out of ones own skin. The self must cast out, deny or objectify the ego and thereby truly love and save it.

The Self in deep sleep resumes its original omniscience. There is no memory of this on awakening for the reason that memory is a function of the soul body being as it were the traces of past impressions on the psycho-physical organism. The eternal Self needs no memory because it has no past. The S self should not be confused with memory and the continuity of memory with the eternal persistence of the Self.

Behind the changing flux of experience the consciousness of the self is a strictly now consciousness, only in the sense of remembering itself of resuming not a past but an eternal consciousness by ceasing as it were to focus itself as the buddhi upon the individual point of view

Because the the infinite is absolute freedom it is under no necessity to manifest the finite therefor the question why this all happens in in terms of purpose is to apply human categories, a goal to the infinite. The unceasing flow of life mirrors the boundless possibilities of omnipotence as well as the truth. That the infinite as living reality as spirit pure and simple can never be grasped in any fixed form

From the standpoint of the Infinite as Brahma the Universe is the instant simultaneous and effortless manifestation of his own finite image. From the infinite's standpoint because the total image is what the infinite wills, the infinite finds it absolutely acceptable. But from the infinite as the Self-Atma the manifestation of the universe is a gigantic work, the exquisite maya which mythology represents as the beloved of God

The subject of this paper is the
(1) The universal will which is highly conscious
but not of itself as an object
(2) Area of psychic life with which the self, as the
subject, is identified itself so closely that it does
not recognize it or know it objectively. This latter
condition of various aspects of the self which when
experiences are not experienced in their true form
but are projected outwardly in symbolic forms
The proper meaning of salvation is to
save the self from the unconscious
The unconscious contains soul with itself
The self denies itself of soul denial of course the
ego can no more deny itself than one can deny out
of one's own skin. The self must save itself, day or night,
from the ego and thereby truly love and save it.
The self in deep sleep returns to
original conditions. There is no memory of this on
awakening for the reason that memory is a function of
the soul body being as it were the trace of past
impressions on the psycho-physical organism. The stream
of life flows on because it has no past. The
self should not be confused with memory and the con-
tinuity of memory with the eternal persistence of the
self.
During the changing flux of experiences
the consciousness of the self is a reality now and
then, only in the state of transcending itself by
returning not a cent but an eternal consciousness by
seeing as it were to focus itself on the behind upon
the individual point of view
Because the self infinite is absolute
It is in order to necessarily to maintain the
finite. Therefore the question why this self happens in
in terms of purpose is to apply human categories
a veil to the infinite. The transcending flux of life
within the boundaries possibilities of consciousness as
well as the truth that the infinite is living reality
is spirit pure and simple can never be grasped in any
fixed form
From the viewpoint of the infinite a
finite universe is the largest imaginable and
effortless realization of his own finite nature. The
self infinite's transcendence the total sense is
that the infinite will the infinite finite it also
fully accessible. But from the infinite as the self-
from the realization of the universe is a gigantic
work, the explicit acts which mythology represents as
the beloved of God

Because the infinite is non-dual and not merely one it does not exclude the realms of relationship and value, though the latter not eternal in themselves do not ever pass out of the infinite consciousness to which all ~~that~~ that was is and shall be eternally present. To enter eternity is not to leave all the relationships and values of time in the past. The infinite and the eternal includes time and space eternally though not necessarily when attempts are made to regard relationships and value as absolute as of eternity rather than in eternity-dualism results. Monism is a subject without an object, or an object without a subject such as materialism or pantheism

Father(subject)--- Son(object)-holy ghost
verb

Three and yet really one, does not render God meaningless, then necessarily the fact that selves are really many and yet really identical does not render the universe meaningless. It is open to question whether one should speak of absolute meaning since meaning is relational and relative. Strictly speaking the absolute transcends meaning and is meaningless in the same sense that it is nothing. in the non-private sense of metaphysical negation. It is possible to say that the universe is meaningful in that it has interior relations

There is no point from which knowledge of the infinite can begin other than the infinite itself. The stream of existence seems to run from the infinite to the finite so that one ~~cannot~~ cannot swim against it. No amount of knowledge seems to prove the existence of the knower, the knower can never be it's own object of knowledge. The very notion of the self having an objective knowledge of itself is meaningless. How can the knower (which is total omniscience) know anything? There is no possessor of knowledge other than Brahma because all knowledge whatsoever is a participation in the infinite knowledge

We all have the intuitive and certain subjective knowledge of our own existence but we do not seem to have the same irreducible kind of knowledge ~~about~~ about the ultimate reality. In principle the two are the same all knowledge being a participation in the total. The infinite has the power for the purpose of generating the finite world ~~and~~ a restrictive or contracting reflex, so to speak and the resultant avidya. The infinite consciousness ~~is~~ is that which is not conscious of the ~~subject~~ subject nor that which is conscious of the object nor

Because the infinite is non-dual and not dual-
if one does not exclude the realm of relation-
ship and value, though the latter not eternal in case
selves do not ever pass out of the infinite con-
ditions to which all that was is and shall be
eternally present. To enter eternally is not to leave
All the relationships and values of time in the past,
the infinite and the eternal includes time and space
eternally though not necessarily when concepts are
made to regard relationships and value as absolute
as of eternally rather than in eternally-dual to-
tality. Hence in a subject without an object, or an
object without a subject such as materialism or
pantheism

Father (and son) -- son (object) -- holy ghost
verb
Thus and yet really one, does not render
God meaningless, then necessarily the fact
that selves are really many and yet really identical
does not render the universe meaningless. It is open
to question whether one should speak of absolute
meaning since meaning is relational and relative.
Relatively speaking the absolute transcends meaning and
is meaningless in the same sense that it is nothing.
In the non-dual sense of metaphysical speculation, it
is possible to say that the universe is meaningful
in that it has interior relations

There is no point from which know-
ledge of the infinite can begin other than the in-
finite itself. The scope of existence seems to run
from the infinite to the finite so that one cannot
know the infinite if the amount of knowledge seems
cannot give evidence of the knower, the knower can
never be its own object of knowledge. The very pos-
sibility of the self having an objective knowledge of it-
self is meaningless. How can the knower (which is
total omniscience) know anything? There is no pos-
sibility of knowledge other than Brahman because all know-
ledge whatsoever is a participation in the infinite
knowledge

We all have the intuitive and certain sub-
jective knowledge of our own existence but we do not
seem to have the same irrefragable kind of knowledge
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx about the infinite reality. In
particular the two are the same all knowledge being
a participation in the total. The infinite has the
power for the purpose of governing the finite world
xxxxx a restrictive or controlling power, so to
speak and the resultant evidence. The infinite conscious-
ness is that which is not conscious of the ex-
istence of that which is conscious of the object

Nor that which is conscious of both, nor that which is simple consciousness, nor that which is a mass of all sentiency nor that which is all darkness. It is unseen and transcendent, unapprehensible and unferrable, unthinkable and indescribable sole essence of the consciousness of Self, negative of all illusion. To relate a strictly eternal God to a religion so essentially ~~historical~~ historical as christainity in a single frame of reference can only result in confusion

Maya is imagined by the infinite.

Formless ...transcending all forms, red is formless in itself but red may be used to in all possible drawing or forms. The very notion of absolute oneness is dualistic because it implies by exclusion and opposes the possibility of the many. Knower and known are not opposites, opposites belong to the realm of the finite and the known. (by definition) The act of self-abandonment by which the God identifies itself with its creatures referred to as the incarnation.

Father and Son or Brahma and atma

essentially the former is unmanifested and the infinite imagining itself as the finite, thus the son is the eternally creative agent-logos Principially distinct from the universe yet he brings it into being by identifying himself with it. Religion must deny any reduction to one or such in order to keep it's values.

Man as the object of his own knowledge is the ego or soul as the subject the knower or the self he is in reality one with the infinite. The more the personality is subordinated to the infinite and the denied the more uniquely personal it becomes.

Nature of the church is sacramental; it is a concrete and positive form of greed, code and cult has it's own proper mode of function, of representing the spiritual by analogy. The incarnation upon which the church is founded is not the ascent of man to God but the descent of God to man in Him the word was made flesh and dwelt among them. As religion begins with revelation so metaphysics begins with realization. For the Infinite every point of space and time is here and so it is with knowledge without abolishing the difference or distance among things known. As a sufficient cause of the present the past has the peculiar disqualification of not existing, no amount of history will explain why or how there happens to be any history at all. Things move in relation to one another not in relation to the infinite. Knownong his own essential eternity man is able to give himself to life without stint, this power is the secret of all creative work.

...nor that which is consciousness of both, nor that which is single consciousness, nor that which is a mass of all sensitivity nor that which is all darkness. It is unseen and translucent, ungraspable and ungraspable, unshakable and indescribable, sole essence of the conscious self, negative of all illusion. To relate a strictly eternal God to a religion so essentially historical as Christianity in a single frame of reference can only result in confusion.

Maya is imagined by the infinite. Transcending all forms, it is formless in itself but may be used in all possible drawing or forms. The very notion of absolute essence is dualistic because it implies by exclusion and opposition of the many, knower and known are not opposites, opposites belong to the realm of the finite and the known (by definition) the act of self-abandonment by which the God identifies itself with its creature referred to as the incarnation. Father and Son or Brahman and Atman essentially the former is unmanifested and the infinite imagining itself as the finite, then the non is the eternally creative agent-Logos, originally distinct from the universe yet he brings it into being by identifying himself with it. Religion must deny any reduction to one or such in order to keep its values.

Man as the object of his own knowledge is the ego or soul as the subject the knower or the self he is in reality one with the infinite. The more the personal is subordinated to the infinite and the denied the more uniquely personal it becomes.

Nature of the church is sacramental; it is a concrete and positive form of grace, code and rule has its own proper mode of function or representing the spiritual by analogy. The incarnation upon which the church is founded is not the ascent of man to God but the descent of God to man in Him the word was made flesh and dwelt among men. As religion begins with revelation so metaphysics begins with realization for the infinite every point of space and time is here and so it is with knowledge without abolishing the difference or distance among things known. As a sufficient cause of the present the past has the peculiar disqualification of not existing, no amount of history will explain why or how there happens to be any history at all. Things move in relation to one another not in relation to the infinite. Knowing his own essential eternity man is able to give himself to life without stint, this power is the source of all creative work.

God is an essence without duality (advaita), or as some maintain, without duality but not without relations (visistadvaita). He is only to be apprehended as Essence (asti), but this Essence subsists in a two fold nature (dvaitabhava), as being and as becoming. Thus, what is called the Entirety (krtsnam, purnam, bhuman) is both explicit and inexplicit (niruktanirukta), sonant and silent (sabdasabda), characterised and uncharacterised (saguna, nirguna), temporal and eternal (kalakala), partite and impartite (sakalakala), ~~in~~ in a likeness and not not in any likeness (murtamurta), shewn and unshewn (vyaktavyakta), mortal and immortal (martyamartya), and so forth. Whoever knows him in his proximate ~~aspect~~ (apara) aspect, immanent, knows him also in his ultimate (para) aspect, transcendent; the Person seated in our heart, eating and drinking, is also the Person in the Sun. This Sun of men, and Light of lights, "whom all men see but few know with the mind", is the Universal Self (atman) of all things mobile or immobile. He is both inside and outside (bahir antas ca bhutanam), but uninterruptedly (anantaram), and therefore a total presence, undivided in divided things. He does not come from

God is an essence without quality (advaita),
or as some maintain, without quality but not
without relations (vishvavaita). He is only
to be apprehended as Essence (asti), but this
Essence subsists in a two fold nature (dvaita-
bhava), as being and as becoming. Thus, what
is called the Entirety (krishna, purana, bhu-
van) is both explicit and inexplicit (nirukta-
nirukta), sonant and silent (sadbhasda), char-
acterised and uncharacterised (saguna, nirguna),
temporal and eternal (kalakala), partite and in-
partite (sakalakala), like in a likeness and not
not in any likeness (murtamurt), shown and un-
shown (vyaktyavyakta), mortal and immortal (mr-
tyamrtya), and so forth. Whoever knows him in
his proximate aspect (para) aspect, is ignorant,
knows him also in his ultimate (para) aspect,
transcendent; the person seated in our heart,
eating and drinking, is also the person in the
Sun. This Sun of men, and light of lights,
"whom all men see but few know with the mind,"
is the Universal Self (atman) of all things mo-
bile or immobile. He is both inside and outside
(bahir antas ca bhutanam), but uninterruptedly
(anantaram), and therefore a total presence, un-
divided in divided things. He does not come from

anywhere, nor does he become anyone, but only
lends himself to all possible modalities of ex-
istence.

anywhere, nor does he become anyone, but only
leads himself to all possible modalities of ex-

istence.

"Am I My Brother's Keeper?"-- Coomaraswamy
("Spiritual Paternity" and the "Puppet Complex",
Page 89

"...the modern proletarians, to whom the notions of individuality and self-expression are so important, are themselves of all peoples the least individualized and the most like a herd."

His footnote (76) to above:

"Nothing, of course, is stranger or more unwelcome to the modern mentality than is the idea of 'self-naughting'. Liberty of choice has become an obsession; the superior liberty of spontaneity is no longer understood. For those who are afraid I cite: 'I can no more doubt...what to me is fact, perceived truth; namely, that any person would be infinitely happier if he could accept the loss of his "individual self" and let nature pursue her uncharted course.' Hadley, Ernest E., Psychiatry (1942) 5:131-134. Cf. Sullivan, Harry Stack, Psychiatry 1:121-134. "Here (in the emphasized individuality of each of us, 'myself') we have the very mother of illusions, the ever pregnant source of preconceptions that invalidate all our efforts to understand other peoples. The psychiatrist may, in his more objective moments, hold the correct view of personality, that it is ~~xxx~~ the hypothetical entity that one postulates to account for the doings of people..."

"...the modern protestants, to whom the notions
of individuality and self-expression are so in-
portant, are themselves of all peoples the least
individualized and the most like a herd."

His footnote (78) is above:

"Nothing, of course, is stranger or more unself-
ish to the modern mentality than the idea of
'self-managing'. . . liberty of choice has become
an obsession; the superior liberty of spontaneity
is no longer understood. For those who are a-
gain a slave, 'I can no more doubt... what to do
is best, perceived truth; namely, that any person
would be infinitely happier if he could escape
the loss of his "individual self" and let nature
have her unobscured course.' (Hobbes, *Leviathan*, ch. 14, p. 108)
Psychiatry (1948), 2:121-122, of Sullivan, early
Stage, Psychiatry 1:121-122. "are (in the expe-
rienced individuality of each of us, 'myself') we
have the very notion of illusions, the ever pres-
ent source of misconceptions that invalidate
all our efforts to understand other peoples. The
psychiatrist may, in his more objective moments,
hold the correct view of personality, that it is
the hypothetical entity that one postulates to
account for the actions of people..."

in his less specialized moments the same psychiatrist joins the throng in exploiting his delusions of unique individuality. He conceives himself to be a self-limited unit that alternates between a state of insular detachment and varying degrees of contact with other people and with cultural entities. He arrogates to himself the principal rôle in such of his actions as he 'happens' to notice." To believe in one's own or another's "personality" or "individuality" is animism. In the traditional philosophy it is emphasized that "personalities" are inconstants, ever changing and never stopping to "be"; "we" are not entities, but processes. Dr. Sullivan's words are - whether or not by intention - an admirable summary of the Buddhist doctrine of anattā. An attachment of permanent value to personality will be impossible for anyone who has seen things "as become" - yathā-bhūtam, objectively, as causally determined processes. The first step on the way to a liberation from "the mother of illusions", and so toward an "infinite happiness", is to have realized by a demonstration that "this (body and mind) is not my Self", that there is no such thing as a "personality" anywhere to be found in the world.

Life in a world of time and space is a condition of incessant change; and, as Plato asks, "How can that which is never in the same state be anything?" - Cratylus 439 E. Almost the first step in clear thinking is to distinguish becoming from being. The important thing is to know what "we" really are; but this is a knowledge that can only be acquired to the extent that "we" eliminate from our consciousness of being, all that "we" are not. This is the Platonic $\kappa\alpha\theta\alpha\rho\iota\varsigma$, Skr. suddha karana.

(The Greek word is englished to "katharsis")

Unfortunate choice of quotes on page 43 nr. bot.
(Coom. Bros. Apr?)

Coomaraswamy unwittingly (?) places the Hindu (or the Oriental) in the position of heathen, and of the low. There is an implication of admitted inferiority in order to show, in argument, some defense of the "heathen's" right to sit at the Lord's table along with the "Christian nobility". This is either ~~another~~ a psychological obstacle of Coom's or an inscrutable form of humility inconsistent with the doctrines.

A seemingly irreparable defect in the ostensibly "combative" excursions of Guénon, to a lesser degree, and Coom., to a greater degree, is the "even so" retreat. Sometimes they remind me of little boys practising chip-carrying in front of a mirror. And, at other times they remind me of little boys who, being met with considerable opposition in the shape and form of the town bully, ~~assure~~ defend themselves by asserting plaintively, tremulously, 'We may not be as good as you are but we can live in the same neighborhood with you...' hopefully, "can't we?"

Consequently unwittingly (?) places the child
(or the Oriental) in the position of teacher, and
of the low. Here is an implication of admitted
inferiority in order to show, in argument, the
defense of the teacher's right to be at the
top of a table along with the "Christian nobility".
This is either a psychological exercise of
Goon's or an insupportable form of humility incon-
sistent with the doctrine.

A seemingly irreparable defect in the ceremonial
"competitive" exercises of London, to a lesser de-
gree, and Goon, to a greater degree, in the "even-
ing" retreat. Sometimes they remind me of little
boys practicing ship-carrying in front of a mirror.
And at other times they remind me of little boys
who, being not with considerable opposition in
the shape and form of the two bulls, xxxxxx de-
fend themselves by asserting individually, tremu-
lously, "We may not be as good as you are but we
can live in the same neighborhood with you."
"Goon's way" "Goon's way"

Psychology and Religion Jung

Experience is not possible without reflection because it is a process of assimilation without which there could be no understanding. (Similarly food is not food unless it is digested, certain words bear out this notion especially in their etymological derivation, further is not necessarily what it seems to be) The fact is that certain ideas exist almost everywhere and at all times and they can even spontaneously create themselves quite apart from migration and tradition (although Jung insists that he is first an empirical psychologist that fact remains that Plato's forms strike a familiar response and it is possible that his acute introverted discernment was transplanted from the realm of the individual psychology to a more transcendent aspect.)

Religion - numinosum a dynamic existence or effect not caused by an arbitrary act of the will (and perhaps here it would be very appropriate to insert a further extension of the notions above; mainly that with the occasion of this numinosum the inner mental processes are illuminated, how the actual act of perception operates, the transformation of mind-stuff into an actual experience takes place and is magnified by the mind) causing a peculiar alteration of consciousness.

Credo's are codified and dogmatic forms of original ~~forms~~ religious experience. In so far as a man is neurotic he has lost confidence in himself. It is a ridiculous prejudice to assume that existence can only be physical, one might even say that the latter is only an inference. Our mind cannot grasp its own form of existence...it lacks the archimedean point.

Complexes behave like secondary or partial personalities in possession of a mental life of their own, sometime they arise through repression and at other times of their own accord. And sometimes for such reasons people are afraid of becoming conscious of themselves. The dynamics of the collective unconscious are set free in a mob...emotion can cause the considerable loss of consciousness.

Protestantism has pulled down many a wall erected by the Church, lost all the finer shades of the dogma, mass, confession, liturgy, etc

The dream is its own interpretation, difficult and intricate, wiser not to make assumptions but rather treat it as a natural event. There is probably continuity of the unconscious process and to find the meaning of a dream it must be related to the series. Out of the fullness of thy life thy shall give birth to a religion. The unconscious mind is capable at times of assuming an intelligence and purposiveness which are

Experience is not possible without re-

fection because it is a process of assimilation with-
out which their could be no understanding. Similarly
food is not food unless it is digested, certain words
lose out this notion especially in their etymological
derivation. Further it is not necessarily what it seems to
be. The fact is that certain ideas exist almost every-
where and at all times and they can even spontaneously
ly create themselves quite apart from reflection and the
diction although Jung insists that he is first an an-
alytical psychologist that fact remains that Jung's
forms strike a familiar response and it is possible that
his acute interpreted dissonance was translated from
the realm of the individual psychology to a more trans-
cendent aspect.

Religion - unconscious a dynamic existence or
effect not caused by an arbitrary act of the will and
perhaps here it would be very appropriate to insert
a further extension of the notion above; mainly that
with the occasion of this unconscious the inner content
process are illuminated, how the actual act of percep-
tion operates, the transformation of mind-stuff into an
actual experience takes place and is regulated by the
mind causing a peculiar alteration of consciousness.

Complexes are codified and dogmatic forms of
original karmic religious experience. In so far as a man
is neurotic he has lost confidence in himself. It is a
ridiculous pretence to assume that existence can only
be physical, one might even say that the latter is only
an inference. Our mind cannot grasp its own form of ex-
istence... it lacks the archetypal point.

Complexes behave like secondary or tertiary
personalities in possession of a mental life of their
own, sometimes they arise through regression and at other
times of their own accord. And sometimes for such reason
people are afraid of becoming conscious of themselves.
The dynamics of the collective unconscious are not free
in a god... emotion can cause the considerable loss of
consciousness.

Protestantism has pulled down many a wall
erected by the Church, lost all the finer shades of the
dogma, mass, confession, liturgy, etc.
The dream is its own interpretation, difficult
and intricate, wiser not to make assumptions but rather
to let it have a natural event. There is probably contin-
uity of the unconscious process and to find the mean-
ing of a dream it must be related to the series. Out of
the totality of any life may well give birth to a re-
ligion. The unconscious mind is capable of lines of
assuming an intelligence and purposiveness which are

superior to conscious insight. This is a fact of basic religious phenomenon, there is unavoidably and il-limitable and indefinite addition to every personality which may have a center to which the ego is subordinated. Dogma expresses such an irrational entity through the image and guarantees a much better rendering of an irrational fact..the psyche. The dogma owes its existence and form to revealed immediate experiences and the ceaseless collaboration of the many minds for many centuries. Before people learned to produce thought the thought came to them they did not think but perceived the mental function. (this is not to be confused with the previous statement made above, this perception is ~~an~~ perception and occurs without manipulation but latter on, ~~it~~ although its basic ~~nature~~ structure is variously combined, recast and played with, the what it is remains ?)

The dogma represents to the soul more completely than a scientific theory (which tends to disregard the emotional values) for the latter expresses and formulates the conscious mind alone the former like a dream reflecting the spontaneous and autonomous activity of the unconscious.

It is normal for the man to resist his anima for she represents the unconscious with all those tendencies and contents hereto exclude from conscious life -the anti-social element in mans psychial nature "the sttitical criminal" suppressed consciously and deliberately disposed of. Repression is a sort of half conscious and half hearted letting go of things, looking the other way so has not to become conscious of ones desire. Suppression amounts to a conscious moral choice, repression a rather immoral penchant for getting rid of disagreeable decisions. Neurosis is a substitute for legitimate suffering. Nature is not at all lenient with unconscious sinners-the punishment is just as severe as they were consciously done. Man is as a whole less good than he imagines himself to be . The less the shadow is embodied in the individual conscious life the blacker and denser it is, the reconciliation of God and man is expressed in the symbol of the Christ. As soon as God ceases to be an overwhelming factor -he becomes a mere name his essence is dead and his power is gone

When we go further and take into account the fact that man is also neither he himself nor what other people know of him -an unknown something which can yet be proved to exist the problem of identity becomes more difficult still

superior to conscious insight. This is a fact of basic religious experience, there is unambiguously and ir-
limitable and indelible addition to every person's
which may have a center to which the eye is subjected
of logical experience such as irrational insight through
the image and guarantee a much better rendering of
an irrational fact. The psyche. The dogma over the
existence and form to reveal immediate experiential
and the conscious consideration of the many ways
for many centuries. Before people learned to produce
thought the thought came to them they did not think
but perceived the mental function. (This is not to
be confused with the previous statement made above,
this perception is active perception and occurs
without manipulation but latter on, although the
basic structure is variously combined,
()
The dogma represents to the soul more
completely than a scientific theory (which tends to
be disregard the emotional values) for the latter
expresses and formalizes the conscious mind alone
the former like a dream reflecting the spontaneous
and autonomous activity of the unconscious.

It is normal for the man to realize his
aim for the treatment of the unconscious with all
those tendencies and contents here to exclude from
conscious life - the anti-social element in man
psychical nature "the aesthetic criminal" -
ed consciously and deliberately disposed of. How-
ion is a sort of self-conscious and self-healed
letting go of things, looking the other way so has
not to become conscious of one's desire. Satisfaction
amounts to a conscious moral choice, treatment a
rather immoral penchant for getting rid of dis-
agreeable decisions. Neurosis is a substitute for
legitimate suffering. Nature is not at all feigned
with unconscious aims - the punishment is just as
severe as they were consciously done. Man is as a
whole less good than he imagines himself to be.
The less the shadow is embodied in the individual
conscious life the darker and denser it is, the
reconciliation of God and man is expressed in the
symbol of the Christ. As soon as God ceases to be an
overwhelming factor - he becomes a mere name his
essence is dead and his power is gone.
When we go further and take into account
the fact that man is also neither he himself nor
what other people know of him - an unknown something
which can yet be proved to exist the problem of
identity becomes more difficult still.